

McGucken Quantum Formalism: The Novel Mathematical Structure of Dual-Channel Quantum Theory underlying the Physical McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$

A Comprehensive Survey of Prior Art in Quantum Theory and Identification of the Novel Categorical Claim — Companion Paper to McGucken Geometry

Dr. Elliot McGucken

Light, Time, Dimension Theory — elliotmcguckenphysics.com

April 26, 2026

“More intellectual curiosity, versatility and yen for physics than Elliot McGucken’s I have never seen in any senior or graduate student. Originality, powerful motivation, and a can-do spirit make me think that McGucken is a top bet...”

— John Archibald Wheeler, Joseph Henry Professor of Physics, Princeton University

“A theory is the more impressive the greater is the simplicity of its premises, the more different are the kinds of things it relates and the more extended the range of its applicability.”

— Albert Einstein, Autobiographical Notes (1946)

“Behind it all is surely an idea so simple, so beautiful, that when we grasp it — in a decade, a century, or a millennium — we will all say to each other, how could it have been otherwise?”

— John Archibald Wheeler

Abstract

We herein present a novel quantum-theoretical framework in the form of the McGucken Quantum Formalism (MQF). This paper conducts a comprehensive survey of the prior art in quantum theory and related fields and formally demonstrates that the McGucken Quantum Formalism has never before been realized throughout the mathematical and physical literature of quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, the Standard Model apparatus, and the major foundational programs. The McGucken Quantum Formalism is the quantum-theoretical structure underlying the Physical McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$, which states that the fourth dimension of spacetime is itself an active geometric process expanding spherically from every spacetime event at the velocity of light. The framework’s defining structural feature is its dual-channel content: the geometric statement $dx_4/dt = ic$ simultaneously specifies an algebraic-symmetry channel (the invariance of x_4 ’s advance under time translation, space translation, rotation, and Lorentz boost) and a geometric-propagation channel (the spher-

ical symmetry of x_4 's expansion as Huygens-wavefront propagation from every space-time event). The two channels generate the Hamiltonian operator formulation and the Lagrangian path-integral formulation of quantum mechanics as independent theorems through disjoint intermediate structures — the structural overdetermination of the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ first established in [MG-Foundations, 2026].

The companion paper [MG-Geometry, 2026] establishes that the McGucken Geometry is a categorically novel geometric category not equivalent to any framework of dynamical geometry mainstream physics has accommodated from 1915 to 2026. The present paper extends that result to the quantum-theoretical sector. The comprehensive survey covers the major frameworks for foundational quantum theory: the Stone-von Neumann theorem and the Heisenberg/Schrödinger uniqueness apparatus [1, 2]; Feynman's 1948 path integral [3]; Dirac's 1933 transformation theory [4]; Wightman's axiomatic QFT [5, 6]; Haag-Kastler algebraic QFT [7]; Osterwalder-Schrader Euclidean QFT [8]; Connes's noncommutative geometry and the spectral-triple formulation of the Standard Model [9, 10]; geometric quantization (Kostant 1970, Souriau 1970, Woodhouse) [11, 12, 13]; Hestenes's spacetime algebra and geometric calculus [14, 15]; Adler's trace dynamics [16]; Bohmian mechanics [17, 18]; Nelson's stochastic mechanics [19, 20]; Lindgren-Liukkonen stochastic optimal control [21]; 't Hooft's cellular automaton interpretation [22]; Witten's twistor string [23]; Schuller's constructive gravity [24]; Woit's Euclidean twistor unification [25]; Wigner's 1939 classification of Poincaré representations [26]; categorical and topological QFT (Atiyah-Segal, Lurie, Baez-Dolan) [27, 28, 29]; Yang-Mills gauge theory and the principal-bundle apparatus [30]; renormalization-group methods (Wilson, Polchinski) [31, 32]; Coleman-Mandula and Weinberg-reconstruction theorems [33, 34]; and the categorical and Erlangen-program foundations of physics [35]. Across this comprehensive survey, the central question is examined: in any of these prior frameworks, is there a quantum-theoretical structure realizing the dual-channel commitment of the McGucken Principle — algebraic-symmetry content and geometric-propagation content as parallel sibling consequences of a single foundational geometric postulate? The answer, established by direct examination of each framework in turn, is no. Each of the surveyed frameworks realizes one channel or the other; none realizes both as parallel sibling consequences of a single principle.

The paper is organized in four parts following the architecture of the companion McGucken Geometry paper [MG-Geometry]. Part I (Comprehensive Survey: §§1-7) catalogs the prior frameworks of quantum theory across operator-algebraic, path-integral, axiomatic-QFT, geometric-quantization, spacetime-algebraic, stochastic, and categorical-QFT branches. Part II (Formal Distinction: §7.4) establishes through formal definitions, propositions, and proofs that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not reducible to any single-channel framework, and that the dual-channel category is genuinely categorically novel. Part III (Formal Definition: §§8-12) presents the McGucken Quantum Formalism in three equivalent presentations: the dual-channel

triple (M, F, V; H, A, ψ) of §9; the operator-algebraic formalization of §10; the path-integral formalization of §11; and the equivalence theorem of §12 establishing the three formulations as mathematically equivalent through the structural-overdetermination route. Part IV (The Novelty Identified: §§13-17) identifies what is mathematically novel and what is taken from prior art, articulates the structural inference that the framework supplies a new quantum-theoretical category — dual-channel quantum theory, the quantum theory of frameworks with simultaneous algebraic-symmetry and geometric-propagation content — and develops the structural argument for why this novelty is not optional decoration but the load-bearing content from which the McGucken corpus’s derivational power descends.

The paper’s thesis can be stated in three sentences. First: the mathematical apparatus needed to formalize dual-channel quantum theory has been available across the operator-algebraic, path-integral, geometric-quantization, and noncommutative-geometric literatures since the foundational decades of quantum theory; the comprehensive survey gives full credit to von Neumann (1932), Stone (1930), Wigner (1939), Feynman (1948), Dirac (1933), Wightman (1956), Haag-Kastler (1964), Connes (1985), and the entire subsequent literature. Second: the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle has been mathematically inescapable since Minkowski’s 1908 formula $x_4 = ict$; the formula contains both the invariance content (the constancy of the rate ic) and the spherical-symmetric propagation content (the geometric structure of x_4 ’s expansion) as parallel sibling features. Third: the willingness to commit to the dual-channel content as a structural commitment of quantum theory — rather than dismissing it as a coincidence of mathematical formulation — is what was missing, and what the McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies. The mathematical apparatus has been there. The dual-channel quantum-theoretical category has not been articulated in any prior framework, as the comprehensive survey of §§2-7 establishes by direct examination.

Keywords: McGucken Quantum Formalism; MQF; dual-channel quantum theory; McGucken Principle; $dx_4/dt = ic$; Stone-von Neumann theorem; Heisenberg picture; Schrödinger picture; Feynman path integral; canonical commutation relation; structural overdetermination; Wightman axioms; Haag-Kastler algebraic QFT; noncommutative geometry; spectral triple; geometric quantization; Hestenes spacetime algebra; Wigner classification; Coleman-Mandula; Weinberg reconstruction; categorical QFT; Atiyah-Segal-Lurie; algebraic-symmetry channel; geometric-propagation channel; comprehensive prior-art survey; companion to McGucken Geometry.

1. Introduction: The Methodology and the Question

The McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ asserts that the fourth dimension of spacetime is itself an active geometric process expanding spherically from every spacetime event at the velocity of light. The companion paper [MG-Geometry, 2026] establishes that this assertion defines a categorically novel geometric category, moving-dimension geometry,

not equivalent to any framework of dynamical geometry mainstream physics has accommodated from 1915 to 2026. The companion paper’s analysis is centered on differential geometry and on the major frameworks of dynamical geometry: general relativity, inflationary cosmology, gravitational-wave physics, FLRW cosmology, Einstein-aether theory, Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, Causal Dynamical Triangulations, Shape Dynamics.

The present paper extends the analysis to the quantum-theoretical sector. The Physical McGucken Principle informs and is in turn formalized by quantum mechanics, quantum electrodynamics, quantum field theory, the Standard Model apparatus, and the major foundational programs — each with its own developed mathematical structure, its own formal apparatus, and its own categorical commitments. The substantial McGucken-corpus literature [MG-Foundations, MG-PathIntegral, MG-StandardModel, MG-Lagrangian, MG-LagrangianOpt, MG-KleinianConstructor] has demonstrated that the McGucken Principle generates substantial portions of quantum-theoretical content as theorems: the Schrödinger equation, the Dirac equation, the canonical commutation relation, the Born rule, the de Broglie relation, the Feynman path integral, the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulations as parallel sibling consequences, the Standard Model gauge structure with broken symmetries, the McGucken Lagrangian as unique under fourteen distinct mathematical optimality theorems, and the Klein-Erlangen pairing of algebraic-symmetry and geometric-propagation content.

The question addressed by the present paper is not whether $dx_4/dt = ic$ generates these results — the McGucken corpus has established that it does — but whether the resulting quantum-theoretical framework, the McGucken Quantum Formalism, is categorically novel relative to the prior art of quantum theory. The methodology mirrors that of the companion paper: comprehensive prior-art survey followed by formal-distinction propositions. Each prior framework is examined directly; its central content is articulated; the structural difference from the McGucken Quantum Formalism is identified. The conclusion of the survey is then established by formal proposition with proof: the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not equivalent to any prior quantum-theoretical framework in the comprehensive survey, in the formal sense that there is no functor preserving the structural commitments of dual-channel content.

The dual-channel structural commitment. The defining feature of the McGucken Quantum Formalism, identified in [MG-Foundations] and developed formally throughout the present paper, is its dual-channel content. The geometric statement $dx_4/dt = ic$ simultaneously specifies two logically distinct pieces of information: an algebraic-symmetry content (the invariance of x_4 ’s advance at rate ic under time translation, space translation, rotation, and Lorentz boost) and a geometric-propagation content (the spherical symmetry of x_4 ’s expansion as Huygens-wavefront propagation from every spacetime event). The two contents are the two faces of one Kleinian object; the

McGucken-Erlangen pairing of [MG-KleinianConstructor] establishes their formal correspondence. The dual-channel content is the structural feature that makes the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulations of quantum mechanics two parallel theorems of the single principle $dx_4/dt = ic$, with the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ derived through two disjoint intermediate routes — the structural-overdetermination property of [MG-Foundations].

In plain language. The paper’s methodology is exactly the methodology of the companion McGucken Geometry paper, applied to the quantum side. We survey the prior art of quantum theory deeply and give every prior framework its full credit. We then ask: does any of them realize the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle — algebraic-symmetry content and geometric-propagation content as parallel sibling consequences of a single foundational principle? The answer, established by direct examination, is no. The mathematical apparatuses of operator-algebraic QM, path-integral QM, axiomatic QFT, noncommutative geometry, geometric quantization, and the rest are all in the prior art, given full credit. The dual-channel quantum-theoretical category they would together populate has not been articulated.

2. The Operator-Algebraic Foundation: Stone-von Neumann and Heisenberg-Schrödinger Equivalence

2.1 Heisenberg 1925 and Matrix Mechanics

Werner Heisenberg’s 1925 paper [36] introduced matrix mechanics as the first complete formulation of quantum mechanics. The fundamental structures are the matrix-valued operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$, with the time evolution governed by the Heisenberg equation of motion $d\hat{A}/dt = (i/\hbar)[\hat{H}, \hat{A}]$. The Heisenberg picture treats operators as time-dependent and states as time-independent. Born and Jordan’s 1925 paper [37] supplied the matrix-mechanical formulation; Born, Heisenberg, and Jordan’s 1926 ‘Drei-Männer-Arbeit’ [38] extended the formalism to multiple degrees of freedom.

The Heisenberg apparatus is operator-algebraic: its content is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space, with the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ as the foundational algebraic identity. This is the algebraic-symmetry content of quantum theory in its pure form: invariance under time translation generates the Hamiltonian; the Hamiltonian generates the Heisenberg equation; the canonical commutation relation closes the operator algebra. The Heisenberg picture has a single channel: algebraic-symmetry content with no geometric-propagation content.

2.2 Schrödinger 1926 and Wave Mechanics

Erwin Schrödinger's 1926 papers [39] introduced wave mechanics as an alternative formulation of quantum mechanics. The fundamental structure is the wave function $\psi(x, t)$ on configuration space, satisfying the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t = \hat{H}\psi$. The Schrödinger picture treats states as time-dependent and operators (excluding the Hamiltonian) as time-independent. Schrödinger's wave mechanics arose from a different mathematical setting (configuration-space wave functions, partial differential equations) than Heisenberg's matrix mechanics.

The Schrödinger picture, in its 1926 formulation, is closer to the geometric-propagation channel than the Heisenberg picture: the wave function $\psi(x, t)$ propagates through configuration space; the Schrödinger equation is a wave equation in the configuration-space sense. However, the Schrödinger picture does not, by itself, supply the geometric mechanism for the propagation: the Hamiltonian is given as input, the wave function is given as initial data, and the propagation is what the equation calculates. The Schrödinger picture is single-channel in that it has the propagation content but lacks an algebraic-symmetry derivation of the Hamiltonian; the Hamiltonian is a postulate, not a theorem.

2.3 The Stone-von Neumann Theorem (1930-1932)

The equivalence of Heisenberg matrix mechanics and Schrödinger wave mechanics was established by the Stone-von Neumann theorem of 1930-1932 [1, 2]. Marshall Stone's 1930 paper [40] and John von Neumann's 1931 paper [1] together prove that the irreducible unitary representations of the Heisenberg group on n generators are unique up to unitary equivalence: every two irreducible representations of the canonical commutation relations are unitarily equivalent. As a consequence, the matrix-mechanical and wave-mechanical formulations of quantum mechanics are unitarily equivalent — they are two presentations of the same underlying mathematical structure.

The Stone-von Neumann theorem [1, 2, 41] is the deepest structural result on the operator-algebraic foundation of quantum mechanics. It establishes the categorical equivalence of the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures and supplies the mathematical apparatus for Mackey's 1949 generalization [42] to representations of Heisenberg groups associated to locally compact abelian groups, Rieffel's 1972 work on the uniqueness of the Heisenberg commutation relations [43], and the entire subsequent operator-algebraic literature on quantization.

The Stone-von Neumann framework, however, does not address the question of why $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. The canonical commutation relation is an input to the Stone-von Neumann theorem, not an output. The theorem asserts that, given the relation, its irreducible

unitary representations are unique; it does not derive the relation from a deeper structural commitment. The Heisenberg-Schrödinger equivalence is therefore a structural fact about a postulated algebraic identity, not a derivation of the algebraic identity from a foundational principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism, in [MG-Foundations, Propositions H.1-H.5], derives the canonical commutation relation from $dx_4/dt = ic$ through the Hamiltonian route; the Stone-von Neumann uniqueness theorem then enters as Proposition H.5 to close the representation.

2.4 von Neumann’s 1932 Mathematische Grundlagen

John von Neumann’s 1932 monograph “Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik” [44] supplies the standard reference for the operator-algebraic foundations of quantum mechanics. The framework comprises: a separable complex Hilbert space H of state vectors; self-adjoint operators on H representing observables; unitary evolution generated by the Hamiltonian; the Born rule for measurement probabilities; the projection postulate for measurement updates; and the spectral theorem decomposing self-adjoint operators into spectral measures.

The von Neumann framework is the canonical operator-algebraic apparatus of quantum mechanics. It is purely algebraic-symmetry in character: the Hilbert space is given, the operators act, the symmetries are encoded in the operator algebra, and the propagation is a derived consequence of the Hamiltonian’s spectral structure. The framework does not ground its structural commitments in any geometric content; the Hilbert space is supplied as a mathematical setting, the operators are postulated, and the geometric content of x_4 — the privileged temporal axis — is absent from the framework as anything but a coordinate label on the wave function.

In plain language. The Heisenberg-Schrödinger-Stone-von Neumann apparatus of operator-algebraic quantum mechanics has been the standard mathematical foundation of quantum theory since the 1930s. It is given full credit. What it does not do is derive its central algebraic identity $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ from a deeper geometric principle, and what it does not do is supply the geometric-propagation content as a parallel sibling consequence of the same principle. The framework is single-channel: algebraic-symmetry content without parallel geometric-propagation derivation. The McGucken Quantum Formalism contains the Stone-von Neumann uniqueness as Proposition H.5 of the Hamiltonian route, but adds the Lagrangian route through Huygens-wavefront propagation that the Stone-von Neumann framework does not.

3. The Path-Integral Foundation: Dirac, Feynman, and the Lagrangian Formulation

3.1 Dirac 1933 and the Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics

Paul Dirac’s 1933 paper “The Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics” [4] is the conceptual origin of the path-integral formulation. Dirac observes that the unitary time-evolution operator can be expressed in terms of a Lagrangian rather than a Hamiltonian, with the propagator analogous to $\exp(iS/\hbar)$ where S is the classical action along a path. Dirac stops short of summing over paths, but the structural insight that the Lagrangian formulation is alternative to the Hamiltonian formulation in quantum mechanics — with phases proportional to action playing the role that operator commutators play in Heisenberg’s framework — is established.

3.2 Feynman 1948 and the Path Integral

Richard Feynman’s 1948 paper [3] establishes the full path-integral formulation: the propagator from (x_A, t_A) to (x_B, t_B) is the sum over all continuous paths connecting the two spacetime points, with each path weighted by $\exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$ where $S[x(t)] = \int L(x, \dot{x}, t) dt$ is the classical action along the path. The path integral is $K(x_B, t_B; x_A, t_A) = \int \mathcal{D}[x(t)] \exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$.

Feynman’s path integral is the canonical Lagrangian-formulation apparatus of quantum mechanics. It supplies the geometric-propagation content of quantum theory: the particle ‘explores all paths’ between source and detector, with the amplitude given by the sum of phases along all paths. The path integral generalizes naturally to quantum field theory (Feynman 1949 [45], Dyson 1949 [46]) and supplies the foundation for perturbative QFT calculations through Feynman diagrams.

The path-integral framework, however, does not address the question of why the particle explores all paths. The standard answer is that all paths are summed because that is what the formalism prescribes; the path integral is taken as a computational postulate that reproduces the predictions of operator-algebraic quantum mechanics. The connection between Feynman’s path integral and the operator-algebraic framework is established by Feynman’s own 1948 derivation of the Schrödinger equation as the short-time limit of the path integral, but the structural origin of the path-summation is not derived. The path-integral framework is single-channel in the geometric-propagation direction: it has the propagation content but lacks an algebraic-symmetry derivation of the action principle that fixes the path-weight.

The McGucken Principle [MG-PathIntegral, MG-Foundations] supplies the missing structural origin: the spherically symmetric expansion of x_4 from every spacetime event distributes each point into a Huygens wavefront; iterated wavefront expansions generate the totality of paths between any two spacetime points; the complex character of $x_4 = ict$ assigns each path a phase proportional to its action; the sum over all wavefront expansions reproduces the path integral. The path integral is therefore a theorem of $dx_4/dt = ic$, not a postulate of quantum mechanics. The Feynman framework is the Lagrangian-channel content of the McGucken Quantum Formalism without the underlying geometric mechanism.

3.3 The Heisenberg-Feynman Equivalence and Its Limits

The equivalence of the Heisenberg operator-algebraic formulation and the Feynman path-integral formulation has been established by direct calculation: Feynman’s 1948 derivation of the Schrödinger equation from the path integral, combined with the Stone-von Neumann theorem’s uniqueness of the Heisenberg representation, establishes that the two formulations are unitarily equivalent. They predict the same expectation values, the same scattering amplitudes, and the same physical observables. The equivalence has been a cornerstone of quantum theory since the 1950s.

The standard view of the equivalence is that it is a mathematical fact about two equivalent formulations of the same theory. The McGucken framework supplies a deeper structural reading: the equivalence is a consequence of both formulations descending from the same single-principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ through disjoint routes — the Hamiltonian route through Stone’s theorem and the canonical commutation relation, and the Lagrangian route through Huygens-wavefront propagation and path-summation. The two routes share no intermediate machinery except the starting principle and the final algebraic identity $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ (or equivalently the Schrödinger equation). The equivalence is, in this reading, the structural-overdetermination signature of a correct geometric foundation: the same physical content reached by two independent chains.

In plain language. Feynman’s path integral and Dirac’s Lagrangian formulation supply the geometric-propagation content of quantum theory — the ‘all paths’ picture. The Heisenberg-Stone-von Neumann apparatus supplies the algebraic-symmetry content — the operator commutation relation. They are equivalent as formulations of the same theory. What no prior framework supplies is the underlying single principle from which both descend as parallel sibling theorems through disjoint routes. The McGucken Quantum Formalism does this. The dual-channel structural commitment is what makes the framework categorically novel relative to the operator-algebraic and path-integral traditions, both of which are single-channel.

4. Axiomatic QFT: Wightman, Haag-Kastler, Osterwalder-Schrader

4.1 Wightman 1956 and the Wightman Axioms

Arthur Wightman's 1956 axiomatization of quantum field theory [5], formalized in Gårding-Wightman 1964 [6] and detailed in Streater-Wightman's 1964 monograph "PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That" [47], establishes the standard axiomatic framework for QFT. The Wightman axioms postulate: (W1) a separable Hilbert space H of states; (W2) a continuous unitary representation U of the Poincaré group on H ; (W3) a Poincaré-invariant vacuum state Ω on H , unique up to scalar; (W4) operator-valued tempered distributions $\phi_i(x)$ on Minkowski spacetime, transforming covariantly under the Poincaré representation; (W5) microcausality: spacelike-separated field operators commute (or anticommute for fermions); (W6) cyclicity of the vacuum: applications of polynomial operators to the vacuum span a dense subspace of H .

The Wightman framework is the most influential axiomatization of QFT and is the setting for major structural theorems: the spin-statistics theorem (Wightman, Schwinger), the PCT theorem (Lüders, Pauli, Schwinger), and the Reeh-Schlieder theorem on cyclicity. The framework has been extensively developed [47, 48] and remains the standard rigorous axiomatization. One of the Millennium Problems is to construct a Wightman QFT realizing Yang-Mills theory.

The Wightman framework is single-channel algebraic-symmetry: the Poincaré group acts as a representation on a Hilbert space, the vacuum is invariant, the field operators transform covariantly, the microcausality axiom encodes the geometric structure as a constraint on the operator algebra. The geometric content (Minkowski spacetime, light-cone causal structure) is supplied as a fixed background, not derived from a deeper geometric principle. The framework does not supply a mechanism for why the Poincaré group acts as it does, why the microcausality constraint holds, or why the vacuum is unique — these are postulates, not theorems.

4.2 Haag-Kastler 1964 and Algebraic QFT

Rudolf Haag and Daniel Kastler's 1964 paper "An Algebraic Approach to Quantum Field Theory" [7] establishes algebraic QFT (AQFT): a formulation of QFT in terms of nets of *C-algebras associated to spacetime regions*. *The Haag-Kastler axioms postulate, for each open region O of Minkowski spacetime, a C-algebra $A(O)$ of observables localized in O , with:* (HK1) isotony: $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ implies $A(O_1) \subseteq A(O_2)$; (HK2) microcausality: $A(O_1)$ and $A(O_2)$ commute when O_1 and O_2 are spacelike separated; (HK3) Poincaré co-

variance: the Poincaré group acts on the net by automorphisms preserving the localization structure; (HK₄) the spectrum condition: the energy-momentum spectrum is contained in the closed forward light cone.

The Haag-Kastler framework eliminates fields as fundamental objects, retaining only the algebras of localized observables. It supplies the modern setting for major structural theorems: the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem on modular structure, Doplicher-Haag-Roberts (DHR) superselection theory, and (more recently) homotopical AQFT and locally covariant AQFT for QFT on curved spacetime [49, 50, 51]. The framework is closely connected with Tomita-Takesaki modular theory.

The Haag-Kastler framework is, like the Wightman framework, single-channel algebraic-symmetry: the algebraic structure is the algebraic-symmetry channel of QFT in its purest form (algebras of operators localized in spacetime regions, Poincaré covariance, microcausality). The geometric structure is supplied as input (Minkowski spacetime with its light-cone causal structure); no derivation of this structure from a deeper geometric principle is provided. The framework does not realize the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle — though the dual-channel reading of microcausality and nonlocal Bell correlations of [MG-Foundations, §V.8] is structurally close to the Haag-Kastler microcausality axiom.

4.3 Osterwalder-Schrader Euclidean QFT and the Wick Rotation

Konrad Osterwalder and Robert Schrader’s 1973-1975 axiomatization [8, 52] establishes Euclidean QFT: the formulation of QFT in terms of correlation functions on Euclidean four-space, satisfying axioms (Euclidean covariance, reflection positivity, regularity, ergodicity) that ensure the existence of a Wick-rotation reconstruction to a Wightman QFT on Minkowski spacetime. The framework is the standard setting for constructive QFT — the construction of explicit examples of QFT (such as ϕ^4 in two and three dimensions, the Yukawa model) proceeds through Euclidean methods, with the Wick rotation supplying the connection to the physical Lorentzian theory. The Wick substitution itself, $t \rightarrow -i\tau$, has been employed since Wick 1954 [W] as a calculational device of extraordinary power: it converts oscillatory Minkowski path integrals into convergent Gaussian Euclidean integrals, regularizes propagator poles via the $+i\varepsilon$ prescription, supplies the imaginary-time formulation of thermal field theory through the KMS condition, and yields the Hawking temperature via Gibbons-Hawking horizon regularity.

The Osterwalder-Schrader framework, the Kontsevich-Segal 2021 holomorphic-semigroup characterization of admissible complex metrics [KS], and the entire seventy-year tradition of Euclidean methods in quantum field theory take the Wick rotation as a postulate or as an analytic-continuation device justified post hoc by reconstruction theorems. None supplies the physical mechanism of why the Wick rotation works, why

Euclidean and Minkowski formulations are equivalent, or why the imaginary unit i appears in the substitution at all. The McGucken corpus paper [MG-Wick, 2026] establishes that the Wick rotation is a theorem of the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$. The central result, Theorem 2.1 of that paper, identifies the Wick substitution $t \rightarrow -i\tau$ with the coordinate identification $\tau = x_4/c$. The proof is a single substitution: from $x_4 = ict$, solving for t gives $t = x_4/(ic) = -ix_4/c$; setting $\tau = x_4/c$ yields $t = -i\tau$. The Wick substitution is not a calculational trick justified by analytic continuation; it is the McGucken Principle expressed in τ -notation rather than x_4 -notation. The postulate and the rotation are the same geometric statement in two coordinate systems.

The McGucken-Wick paper [MG-Wick] establishes ten formal theorems and corollaries deriving every standard application of the Wick rotation as a theorem of $dx_4/dt = ic$. Theorem 2.1: the Wick substitution is coordinate identification. Corollary 2.3: the Schrödinger equation and the diffusion equation are the same equation read along two axes (t and τ) of the same real four-manifold. Theorem 3.1: the Minkowski action S and the Euclidean action S_E satisfy $iS = -S_E$, with S_E manifestly real and positive-definite, by direct chain-rule substitution from $x_4 = ict$. Theorem 3.2: the Euclidean path integral $\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{\widehat{(-S_E/\hbar)}}$ converges absolutely for V bounded below. Theorem 4.1: the $+i\epsilon$ prescription is an infinitesimal Wick rotation at angle $\theta = \epsilon$ in the (x_0, x_4) plane, with the full Wick rotation being the completion at $\theta = \pi/2$. Theorem 5.1: the twelve canonical factor-of- i insertions throughout quantum theory — canonical quantization ($p \rightarrow -i\hbar\partial/\partial x$), the Schrödinger equation, the canonical commutator $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$, the Dirac equation, the path-integral weight $e^{\widehat{(iS/\hbar)}}$, the $+i\epsilon$ prescription, the Wick substitution itself, Fresnel integrals, the Minkowski-Euclidean action bridge, the $U(1)$ gauge phase $e^{\widehat{(i\theta)}}$, spinor structure, and KMS imaginary-time periodicity — are unified as instances of a single geometric fact: the algebraic marker of x_4 -projection through the suppression map σ sending the four-Euclidean manifold M to (x_1, x_2, x_3, t) coordinates.

Theorem 5.2 (meta-theorem). Every factor of i in quantum theory falls into one of three mechanisms: chain-rule factors from $\partial/\partial t = ic \partial/\partial x_4$ (canonical quantization, Schrödinger, commutator, path-integral weight, Minkowski-Euclidean bridge, KMS); signature-change factors in tensor structures (Dirac equation, spinor representations); σ -images of integration contours or exponential structures ($+i\epsilon$ regularization, Wick substitution, Fresnel $\sqrt{i}$, $U(1)$ gauge phase). The classification is exhaustive: every i in quantum theory records the count of x_4 -derivatives in the underlying real construction on M . Theorem 6.1 (Osterwalder-Schrader reflection positivity). Reflection positivity, imposed by Osterwalder-Schrader 1973 as an independent axiom, is a theorem of $dx_4/dt = ic$: the reflection $\tau \rightarrow -\tau$ is the reflection $x_4 \rightarrow -x_4$ on the McGucken manifold, the Euclidean action is invariant under it, and the inner product $\langle F, \theta F \rangle$ is non-negative for test functionals supported at positive τ . Theorem 7.1 (KMS condition). The Kubo-

Martin-Schwinger condition of thermal field theory, that thermal equilibrium at T corresponds to imaginary-time periodicity with period $\hbar\beta$, is x_4 -periodicity on the McGucken manifold combined with the McGucken-derived second law. Theorem 8.1 (Gibbons-Hawking horizon regularity). The smoothness condition $\beta = 2\pi/\kappa$ on Euclidean Schwarzschild, imposed by Gibbons-Hawking 1977 as a regularity requirement, is the requirement that x_4 close smoothly at the horizon — required by x_4 being a real continuous coordinate. Corollary 8.2: the Hawking temperature $T_H = \hbar\kappa/(2\pi ck_B)$ follows from x_4 -periodicity being thermal equilibrium.

Theorems 9.1 and 9.2 (Kontsevich-Segal reduction). The Kontsevich-Segal 2021 holomorphic-semigroup characterization of admissible complex metrics, characterized as a holomorphic semigroup parameterized by complex phase $e^{i\theta}$ with $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, is the projection of the McGucken real one-parameter rotation family of Lemma 1.2 of [MGWick] into complex-metric language under the embedding $x_4 = ix_0$. The Kontsevich-Segal positivity axiom, imposed as a separate input, is x_4 -reality: the Euclidean action S_E is manifestly real and positive-definite in the kinetic term, automatically satisfying the positivity axiom. The Kontsevich-Segal framework requires two independent inputs (semigroup structure and positivity); the McGucken Principle requires one ($dx_4/dt = ic$) and produces both as consequences. Theorem 10.1 (foundational necessity). The McGucken Principle is not merely sufficient to derive the Wick rotation and its manifestations — it necessitates them. The postulate and the rotation are the same geometric statement in different coordinate systems; every manifestation of the Wick rotation is a theorem of the postulate.

The structural relationship of the McGucken-Wick result to the Osterwalder-Schrader framework is that Osterwalder-Schrader supplies the rigorous axiomatic characterization of Euclidean QFT, and the McGucken Principle supplies the physical mechanism. The Osterwalder-Schrader axioms (Euclidean covariance, reflection positivity, regularity, ergodicity) are formal characterizations of properties that, under the McGucken Principle, follow from x_4 being a real geometric axis. Reflection positivity is $x_4 \rightarrow -x_4$ symmetry; Euclidean covariance is $SO(4)$ covariance of the four-real-axis manifold M ; ergodicity is x_4 -isotropy. The axioms are not arbitrary mathematical conditions; they are the formal description of properties of the McGucken manifold. Constructive QFT, performed in the Euclidean signature using Osterwalder-Schrader-axiomatized methods, succeeds because the Euclidean signature is the natural geometric setting for the McGucken manifold — the signature in which x_4 is treated as a real fourth axis rather than as the imaginary projection ict in the t -coordinate signature.

In plain language. The Wick rotation has been used for seventy years as the most powerful single technique in theoretical quantum physics. It converts Minkowski path integrals to Euclidean ones, regularizes propagator poles, supplies the imaginary-time

formulation of thermal field theory, yields the Hawking temperature, and unifies a dozen factor-of- i insertions across quantum theory. Wick 1954 introduced it as a calculational substitution. Osterwalder-Schrader 1973 axiomatized the Euclidean framework. Kontsevich-Segal 2021 characterized the admissible complex metrics. None of these supplied the physical mechanism of why the Wick rotation works, why the i appears in $t \rightarrow -i\tau$, or why every quantum-theoretical i traces back to the same geometric origin. The McGucken corpus paper [MG-Wick] establishes that the Wick rotation is the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ written in τ -notation rather than x_4 -notation. The substitution $t \rightarrow -i\tau$ is the coordinate identification $\tau = x_4/c$, derivable in one substitution from $x_4 = ict$. Ten formal theorems then derive every standard application of the Wick rotation — reflection positivity, KMS, Gibbons-Hawking, the twelve factor-of- i insertions, the Kontsevich-Segal semigroup — from the McGucken Principle. The Wick rotation is not a postulate of quantum theory; it is a theorem of the McGucken Principle.

5. Geometric Quantization, Spacetime Algebra, and Noncommutative Geometry

5.1 Geometric Quantization: Kostant 1970, Souriau 1970, Woodhouse

Bertram Kostant’s 1970 paper [11] and Jean-Marie Souriau’s 1970 monograph [12] introduce geometric quantization: a procedure for constructing quantum theories from classical mechanical systems via line bundles, polarizations, and prequantization. The procedure takes as input a symplectic manifold (M, ω) representing a classical phase space and produces a Hilbert space of quantum states with operators corresponding to classical observables, satisfying the canonical commutation relations to leading order in $\hbar$. Woodhouse’s 1980 textbook [13] supplies the modern reference.

Geometric quantization is the canonical mathematical framework connecting classical and quantum mechanics through differential-geometric methods. It provides a setting in which the algebraic-symmetry channel (canonical commutation relations) is derived from geometric input (symplectic structure, polarization choice). The framework is structurally close to the Cartan-geometric formalization of the McGucken Geometry [MG-Geometry, §11], in that both treat the quantum-theoretical content as descending from geometric input.

Geometric quantization, however, takes the symplectic structure as input rather than deriving it from a deeper geometric principle. The Hamiltonian, the polarization, and the prequantization line bundle are all chosen rather than derived. The framework does not supply a mechanism for why the symplectic structure is what it is, why the canonical commutation relation holds at the leading order in $\hbar$, or why the quantum-theoretical

content is what it is. The framework is structurally a method for constructing quantum theories from classical inputs; it is not a derivation of quantum theory from a foundational physical principle.

5.2 Hestenes' Spacetime Algebra and Geometric Calculus

David Hestenes's 1966 monograph "Space-Time Algebra" [14] and his 1984 monograph "Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus" [15] develop the geometric-algebra reformulation of physics. The framework treats spacetime as a Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$, with the Dirac equation reformulated as an equation in geometric algebra and the imaginary unit i replaced by a geometric pseudoscalar. Hestenes's "real Dirac equation" [53] presents the Dirac formalism without an external imaginary unit, with the role of i played by the spacetime pseudoscalar $I = e_0e_1e_2e_3$ satisfying $I^2 = -1$.

Hestenes's spacetime algebra is structurally interesting in connection with the McGucken Principle: both frameworks identify the imaginary unit i with a specific geometric structure rather than as an external mathematical convenience. In Hestenes's framework, i is the spacetime pseudoscalar; in the McGucken framework, i is the imaginary character of x_4 in the Minkowski formula $x_4 = ict$. The two identifications are not the same — the spacetime pseudoscalar is a four-grade element of $Cl(1,3)$, while x_4 is a single coordinate — but they share the structural commitment that i is geometric in origin rather than mathematical.

Hestenes's framework, however, is geometric-content without an active-flow commitment: the spacetime pseudoscalar is a static algebraic element, and the framework does not assert that any axis of spacetime is itself an active geometric process. The framework is therefore close to the McGucken Quantum Formalism in its geometric grounding of i but distant in its lack of dual-channel content: Hestenes's framework realizes the geometric channel without the parallel algebraic-symmetry content as a derived sibling, because the geometric algebra is a single-channel apparatus that does not by itself supply the algebraic-symmetry structure of the canonical commutation relations as a parallel sibling.

5.3 Connes's Noncommutative Geometry and the Spectral-Triple Standard Model

Alain Connes's 1985 monograph "Noncommutative Geometry" [9] and the subsequent Connes-Marcolli 2008 monograph "Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives" [10] establish noncommutative geometry as a comprehensive framework for the unification of quantum-mechanical and geometric structures. The fundamental object is a spectral triple (A, H, D) : an involutive algebra A acting on a Hilbert space H , together with a self-adjoint operator D (the Dirac operator) whose commutators with

elements of A encode the geometric structure. The framework generalizes Riemannian geometry to noncommutative algebras and supplies a unified treatment of the Standard Model gauge structure, the Higgs mechanism, and gravitational dynamics through the Connes-Chamseddine spectral-action principle [54, 55].

Connes’s framework is the deepest and most ambitious unification of quantum-theoretical and geometric structures in the prior literature. It supplies a derivation of the Standard Model Lagrangian from a finite-dimensional spectral triple (A_F, H_F, D_F) representing the internal symmetries, combined with the ordinary spacetime spectral triple. The Yukawa coupling matrix is identified with the Dirac operator D_F of the finite geometry; the Higgs field arises as the ‘inner fluctuations’ of the spectral structure. The framework predicts relations between the Standard Model parameters and has supplied predictions of the Higgs mass within experimental tolerance.

Connes’s framework is structurally close to a dual-channel reading: the spectral triple combines an algebraic structure $(A, \text{encoding the symmetry content})$ with a geometric structure $(D, \text{encoding the Dirac-operator propagation content})$. The algebraic-symmetry channel and the geometric-propagation channel are simultaneously present in a single mathematical object, the spectral triple. The closeness to the dual-channel structure of the McGucken Quantum Formalism is the deepest such closeness in the prior literature.

The structural difference between Connes’s framework and the McGucken Quantum Formalism is, however, decisive. In Connes’s framework, the spectral triple (A, H, D) is supplied as input: the algebra is given (the Standard Model symmetry algebra), the Hilbert space is given (constructed from chiral fermions), and the Dirac operator is given (constructed from Yukawa couplings). The framework derives the Standard Model Lagrangian from these inputs, but it does not derive the inputs themselves from a deeper foundational principle. The dual-channel content is present in the spectral triple as a structural feature of the apparatus, but it is not derived from a single foundational geometric principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism, by contrast, derives both channels from $dx_4/dt = ic$: the algebraic-symmetry channel through the invariance of x_4 ’s rate, and the geometric-propagation channel through the spherical-symmetric expansion. The McGucken framework is structurally the foundational principle that Connes’s framework lacks.

A possible synthesis of the two frameworks — treating the McGucken Principle as the foundational principle that grounds the spectral-triple input of Connes’s framework — is a research direction beyond the scope of the present paper, but the structural compatibility is suggestive. The McGucken Quantum Formalism may be the foundational principle that Connes’s noncommutative-geometric framework requires to be a com-

plete derivational program; conversely, Connes’s framework may be the noncommutative-geometric apparatus through which the McGucken Quantum Formalism realizes the Standard Model derivation.

In plain language. Geometric quantization (Kostant-Souriau-Woodhouse), space-time algebra (Hestenes), and noncommutative geometry (Connes) are three of the deepest geometric reformulations of quantum theory. Each is given full credit. Each is structurally close to but not the same as the dual-channel content of the McGucken Quantum Formalism. Geometric quantization treats classical-to-quantum as a procedure with classical input. Hestenes treats the imaginary unit as geometric without an active-flow commitment. Connes’s spectral triple combines algebra and geometry but supplies the inputs rather than deriving them from a foundational principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies the foundational principle — $dx_4/dt = ic$ — from which both channels descend. The three prior frameworks are structurally compatible candidates for synthesis with the McGucken framework, but none of them, as it stands, realizes the dual-channel derivation from a single principle.

6. Alternative Foundational Programs: Stochastic, Hidden-Variable, Twistor, Cellular

6.1 Bohmian Mechanics: Bohm 1952

David Bohm’s 1952 papers [17, 18] reformulate quantum mechanics as a deterministic theory with hidden variables: particles have well-defined positions at all times, with the wave function $\psi = R \exp(iS/\hbar)$ acting as a ‘pilot wave’ guiding the particles through the quantum potential $Q = -(\hbar^2/2m)\nabla^2 R/R$. The framework reproduces the predictions of standard quantum mechanics through ensemble averages over hidden-variable distributions. The de Broglie-Bohm pilot-wave interpretation has been extensively developed [56] and is one of the major realist interpretations of quantum mechanics.

The Bohmian framework is structurally interesting because the quantum potential Q is a geometric-content object: it acts on the particle’s position through a guidance equation that has the structural form of a propagation equation. However, the Bohmian framework does not realize the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle: the quantum potential is derived from the wave function (which is supplied as input from the Schrödinger equation), and the wave function’s evolution is the standard Schrödinger evolution. The framework is single-channel propagation in the geometric direction, with the algebraic-symmetry content (the Schrödinger equation) supplied as an external input. The framework does not derive the canonical commutation relation, the Born rule, or the action principle from a foundational geometric origin.

6.2 Stochastic Mechanics: Nelson 1966, Lindgren-Liukkonen 2019

Edward Nelson’s 1966 paper [19] and 1985 monograph [20] develop stochastic mechanics: a reformulation of quantum mechanics as a stochastic process on configuration space. Particles are taken to undergo Brownian-motion-like fluctuations governed by a stochastic differential equation, with the Schrödinger equation arising as the equation governing the probability distribution of particle positions. The diffusion coefficient is $\hbar/2m$, identifying $\hbar$ as a measure of the strength of the stochastic fluctuations.

Lindgren and Liukkonen’s 2019 paper [21] develops the stochastic-optimal-control formulation of quantum mechanics: the Schrödinger equation is derived as the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation of a stochastic optimal control problem, with the action functional minimized over stochastic paths. The framework supplies a variational-principle derivation of the Schrödinger equation that is structurally distinct from both the Heisenberg-operator and Feynman-path-integral routes.

Nelson’s and Lindgren-Liukkonen’s frameworks are structurally close to the Lagrangian-channel of the McGucken Quantum Formalism in that both treat the propagation of the wave function as descending from a stochastic-process or variational-principle foundation. They are, however, single-channel: the algebraic-symmetry content (canonical commutation relations, Hilbert-space structure, operator algebra) is not derived from the same foundation but supplied as the standard quantum-mechanical apparatus on top of which the stochastic interpretation is layered. The frameworks supply alternative derivations of the Schrödinger equation but do not realize the dual-channel structural commitment.

6.3 Adler’s Trace Dynamics 1994

Stephen Adler’s 1994 monograph “Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields” [16] and subsequent work [57] develop trace dynamics: a fundamental classical theory of non-commuting matrix-valued variables, from which standard quantum mechanics emerges as a thermodynamic limit. The framework supplies a derivation of the canonical commutation relation as an emergent consequence of statistical-mechanical averaging over the underlying matrix-valued classical variables, with the action quantum $\hbar$ arising as a thermodynamic averaging parameter.

Adler’s framework is structurally interesting as a derivation of the algebraic-symmetry content from a deeper classical foundation. However, it does not derive the geometric-propagation content from the same foundation: the Hilbert space, wave function, and Schrödinger equation arise from the trace-dynamics framework, but the geo-

metric-propagation content (Huygens wavefronts, path summation) is not derived in parallel. The framework is single-channel algebraic-symmetry with an unusual classical-statistical-mechanical foundation, not dual-channel.

6.4 't Hooft's Cellular Automaton Interpretation 2014

Gerard 't Hooft's 2014 monograph "The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics" [22] develops a deterministic foundation for quantum mechanics in which the underlying ontology is a cellular-automaton dynamics on a discrete lattice. The framework derives the standard quantum-mechanical apparatus as a basis-change reformulation of the underlying deterministic dynamics, with the Hilbert-space formalism arising as a complete-set-of-states description of the cellular-automaton states.

't Hooft's framework is structurally close to the Lagrangian-channel propagation content (cellular-automaton evolution as a discrete propagation rule) but treats the Hilbert-space structure as a description of the discrete dynamics rather than as a parallel sibling consequence of a single foundational principle. The framework is single-channel propagation with an unusual discrete-deterministic foundation, not dual-channel.

6.5 Twistor Theory: Penrose 1967, Witten 1978-2004, and the McGucken Identification of CP^3

Roger Penrose's 1967 paper [58] introduces twistor theory, the most ambitious geometric reformulation of relativistic physics in the modern literature. The fundamental objects are not spacetime points but twistors — elements of the complex projective space CP^3 — with spacetime points emerging as Riemann spheres CP^1 in twistor space, light rays as fundamental, conformal invariance and chirality built into the structure, and massless field equations becoming problems of pure holomorphic geometry on CP^3 . The Penrose transform supplies the integral-geometric apparatus relating sheaf cohomology on twistor space to massless field equations on spacetime. The framework has been developed across nearly sixty years through Penrose, Atiyah, Hitchin, Ward, Mason, Skinner, Adamo, and many others, and remains one of the deepest geometric programs in foundational physics.

Edward Witten's engagement with twistor theory spans three decades and four landmark papers. Witten 1978 "An Interpretation of Classical Yang-Mills Theory" [W1] gave the twistor-space formulation of the full classical Yang-Mills field equations through a thickened-neighborhood construction extending Ward's 1977 self-dual result. Witten 2003 "Perturbative Gauge Theory As A String Theory In Twistor Space" [23, W2] observed that perturbative scattering amplitudes in $N=4$ super Yang-Mills exhibit unexpected holomorphy in twistor space (the MHV-amplitude localization on degree-d holo-

morphic curves in $CP^3|_4$), launching the modern scattering-amplitudes program. Witten 2004 “Parity Invariance For Strings In Twistor Space” [W3] established parity symmetry of the connected-instanton prescription. Berkovits-Witten 2004 “Conformal Supergravity In Twistor-String Theory” [W4] diagnosed an inseparable conformal-supergravity sector that contaminates Yang-Mills loops in the twistor string — an internal limitation of the framework.

Despite extraordinary technical depth, twistor theory has carried five persistent open problems across nearly sixty years: (P1) the complex-structure problem — why does physics require complex projective geometry as its natural arena? (P2) the signature problem — why does twistor space have Hermitian signature $(2, 2)$ rather than the Lorentzian signature of real spacetime? (P3) the googly problem — why are right-handed gravitational fields not described on the same footing as left-handed ones, and why does CP^3 have only one chirality? (P4) the curved-spacetime problem — why does twistor theory work in flat spacetime but struggle with curvature? (P5) the physical-interpretation problem — what is twistor space, physically? Penrose has worked on these problems for decades and they remain open in standard twistor theory. Witten’s programme adds further open problems: why amplitudes localize on holomorphic curves in CP^3 , why the twistor string contains an inseparable conformal-supergravity sector, why pure Einstein gravity is missing from the twistor string, and why the twistor string is intrinsically chiral.

The McGucken corpus papers [MG-PenroseTwistor, 2026] and [MG-WittenTwistor, 2026] establish that all of these problems dissolve under a single identification: twistor space CP^3 arises from the geometry of the fourth dimension x_4 physically expanding at the velocity of light $dx_4/dt = ic$. The complex projective manifold that Penrose identified as the fundamental arena of physics is, under this identification, the geometry of x_4 ’s expansion.

The McGucken-Penrose paper [MG-PenroseTwistor] proves seven Propositions establishing the McGucken-twistor identification across the positive content of twistor theory: complex structure (Proposition I), null-line focus (Proposition II), point-line duality (Proposition III), the Penrose transform (Proposition IV), chirality (Proposition V), the nonlinear graviton construction (Proposition VI), and the simplicity of scattering amplitudes (Proposition VII). The paper’s Theorem III.1 is the central identification: CP^3 arises from x_4 ’s spherical-symmetric expansion, with the Hermitian signature $(2, 2)$ arising from $x_4 = ict$ placing x_4 on an imaginary axis and the spatial coordinates on real axes. The paper resolves the five Penrose problems as follows: (P1) the complex structure of twistor space arises because x_4 is perpendicular to the three spatial dimensions and i is the algebraic marker of that perpendicularity; (P2) the $(2, 2)$ signature follows directly from $x_4 = ict$; (P3) the googly chirality arises from the irreversibility of x_4 ’s

expansion ($dx_4/dt = +ic$, never $-ic$) — CP^3 describes the $+ic$ half, and the missing googly half lives on the spatial metric h_{ij} governed by general relativity; (P4) the flat-spacetime restriction arises because twistor space is the geometry of x_4 alone, which is invariant and flat — spatial curvature lives in the separate geometric domain h_{ij} , with the McGucken split being the decomposition the Einstein equation governs; (P5) the physical-interpretation problem dissolves because twistor space is the geometry of the physically real expanding fourth dimension.

The McGucken-Witten paper [MG-WittenTwistor] proves seven Propositions resolving Witten’s 1978-2004 open problems through the same identification. Proposition II.1: the 1978 twistor formulation of classical Yang-Mills [W1] becomes the statement that classical gauge fields, being massless, live entirely within x_4 ’s geometry. Proposition III.1: the 2003 localization of perturbative $N=4$ SYM amplitudes on holomorphic curves in twistor space [W2] becomes a statement about null momenta being x_4 -stationary and therefore inhabiting x_4 ’s complex-analytic geometry — the MHV holomorphy is the holomorphy of x_4 ’s expansion. Proposition IV.1: the 2004 parity-invariance result [W3] becomes the statement that parity is preserved in the x_4 -sector when all external states are x_4 -stationary. Proposition V.1: the Berkovits-Witten conformal-supergravity contamination [W4] becomes a diagnosable consequence of the twistor string conflating the self-dual half of gravity (which lives on x_4 ’s geometry) with the anti-self-dual half (which lives on h_{ij}), with the McGucken split supplying the clean separation. Proposition VI.1: the gravity gap Witten flagged in 2003 (no string theory whose instanton expansion reproduces general relativity) is structurally resolved — Einstein gravity is not missing but split across two geometric domains, with the twistor string capturing the x_4 -half. Proposition VII.1: the chirality/googly problem [W2-W4 and Penrose 1967] is resolved as the physical fact that x_4 expands in one direction only, $dx_4/dt = +ic$.

Peter Woit’s 2021 paper “Euclidean Twistor Unification” [25] develops a twistor-theoretic framework in which the Standard Model arises from Euclidean signature twistor geometry, with the Higgs field interpreted as a geometric pointer to spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking. The McGucken-Woit synthesis identifies structural connections between Woit’s framework and the McGucken Principle through the geometric content of x_4 expansion: Woit’s Higgs-as-geometric-pointer arises in the McGucken framework as the Higgs field marking the direction of x_4 ’s expansion, with Woit’s Euclidean signature being the natural McGucken signature in which x_4 is treated as a real fourth coordinate.

The structural difference between standard twistor theory and the McGucken Quantum Formalism, despite the identification of CP^3 with x_4 ’s geometry, is that standard twistor theory is single-channel geometric-propagation: it supplies a complex-geometric reformulation of physics, with field equations becoming holomorphic problems

on CP^3 . The algebraic-symmetry channel content (canonical commutation relations, operator algebras, Stone-von Neumann uniqueness) is not derived in parallel from the twistor-geometric foundation. Standard twistor theory does not derive the quantum-mechanical apparatus from twistor geometry as a parallel sibling to the propagation content. The McGucken framework, by contrast, derives both channels from $dx_4/dt = ic$, with twistor space CP^3 supplying the geometric-propagation content (the Lagrangian channel) and Stone-von Neumann uniqueness supplying the algebraic-symmetry content (the Hamiltonian channel). Twistor space, in the McGucken reading, is the Lagrangian-channel geometric arena; it is half of the McGucken framework, with the McGucken Principle supplying the foundation that makes twistor theory dual-channel by adding the Hamiltonian channel as a parallel sibling.

In plain language. Penrose's twistor theory is the most ambitious geometric reformulation of physics in the modern literature: spacetime points become Riemann spheres in CP^3 , light rays become fundamental, massless field equations become holomorphic problems. Witten's 1978-2004 papers extended the program to gauge-theory amplitudes and twistor strings. The framework is given full credit. What it does not contain is the physical mechanism of why CP^3 is the natural arena of physics. The McGucken corpus papers [MG-PenroseTwistor] and [MG-WittenTwistor] establish that CP^3 arises from the geometry of the fourth dimension x_4 physically expanding at the velocity of light. The five open problems of Penrose twistor theory and the open problems of Witten's twistor program all dissolve under this identification. The complex structure is x_4 's perpendicularity. The (2,2) signature is $x_4 = ict$. The googly chirality is the irreversibility of x_4 's expansion. The flat-spacetime restriction is x_4 's flatness, with curvature living on the separate spatial-metric domain. The MHV-amplitude holomorphy is the holomorphy of x_4 's expansion. Twistor space is, under the McGucken Principle, the geometric-propagation arena of dual-channel quantum theory.

6.6 Schuller's Constructive Gravity 2020

Frederic Schuller's 2020 work on constructive gravity [24] develops a framework in which the spacetime metric is constrained by the requirement of supporting predictive matter-field dynamics through a system of Schuller closure conditions. The framework supplies a constructive derivation of general relativity from matter-field dynamics consistent with closed causal structure.

Schuller's framework is structurally a derivation of the metric content from matter-field consistency. It is single-channel in the geometric direction (constructing the metric from matter dynamics) and does not realize the dual-channel content of the McGucken

Principle, though it is structurally compatible with the McGucken Lagrangian’s Einstein-Hilbert sector through the Schuller closure plus Lovelock’s 1971 theorem (per [MG-Lagrangian, Proposition VI.3]).

In plain language. Bohmian mechanics, Nelson’s stochastic mechanics, Lindgren-Liukkonen, Adler’s trace dynamics, ’t Hooft’s cellular automata, Penrose-Witten-Woit twistor frameworks, and Schuller’s constructive gravity are major alternative foundational programs for quantum theory. Each is given full credit. Each is single-channel: each realizes one of the two channels (algebraic-symmetry or geometric-propagation) and treats the other as either input or as not addressed. None of them realizes the dual-channel commitment of the McGucken Principle — the simultaneous derivation of both channels from a single foundational principle.

7. Categorical QFT, Renormalization Group, and Representation Theory

7.1 Atiyah-Segal Topological QFT and Lurie’s Cobordism Hypothesis

Michael Atiyah’s 1988 paper [27] and Graeme Segal’s 1988 paper [28] introduce the categorical formulation of topological quantum field theory: a TQFT is a symmetric monoidal functor from the cobordism category $\text{Cob}(n)$ (whose objects are $(n-1)$ -manifolds and whose morphisms are n -dimensional cobordisms) to the category Vect of vector spaces. Jacob Lurie’s 2008 cobordism hypothesis [29] extends this to extended TQFT through (∞, n) -categories of cobordisms with corners.

The categorical-QFT framework is the most modern formal foundation for QFT, supplying a unified setting for topological QFT, conformal QFT, and (in factorization-algebra form) perturbative QFT [59, 60]. The framework treats QFT as an algebraic structure on a category of geometric objects, with the ‘quantum’ content encoded in the functorial assignment of vector spaces to manifolds and morphisms to cobordisms.

The categorical-QFT framework is structurally close to the dual-channel content in that it explicitly combines algebraic structure (vector spaces, tensor products) with geometric structure (cobordism category, manifold morphisms). The framework is, in this sense, dual-channel by construction: every TQFT realizes both an algebraic side (the assigned vector spaces) and a geometric side (the cobordism manifolds) simultaneously.

The structural difference from the McGucken Quantum Formalism is, however, that the categorical-QFT framework treats the dual-channel content as a definitional feature of the framework, not as a derived consequence of a foundational principle. The cobordism category is supplied as input (the geometric structure), the vector-space assignment

is supplied as input (the functor), and the consistency conditions are supplied as input (monoidal axioms). The framework supplies a setting in which dual-channel content can be expressed; it does not derive the dual-channel content from a single foundational principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies the foundational principle that the categorical framework lacks.

7.2 Wilson Renormalization Group and Polchinski's Equation

Kenneth Wilson's 1971 papers [31] introduce the renormalization group as a flow on the space of effective field theories, with high-energy degrees of freedom progressively integrated out to produce effective theories at lower energies. Joseph Polchinski's 1984 paper [32] supplies the exact renormalization-group equation, the differential-equation form of the Wilson flow.

The Wilsonian RG framework is the canonical tool for understanding the structure of QFT at different energy scales. It is structurally agnostic about the foundational origin of QFT: it operates on QFTs as input, computing the flow under coarse-graining, with no derivation of the QFT structure from a deeper principle. The framework is methodological rather than foundational; it supplies a way of organizing QFT calculations rather than a foundation for the QFT itself.

The McGucken Lagrangian's Wilsonian-RG analysis [MG-Lagrangian, Theorem 4.1] establishes that the McGucken Lagrangian is dimensionally complete in the Wilsonian sense: all renormalizable mass-dimension- ≤ 4 operators consistent with the symmetries are included. The Wilsonian-RG framework is therefore structurally compatible with the McGucken framework as a methodological extension; the McGucken framework supplies the foundational principle that the Wilsonian framework operates on rather than deriving.

7.3 Wigner Classification and Coleman-Mandula Theorem

Eugene Wigner's 1939 paper [26] supplies the classification of unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group: the irreducible representations are labeled by mass $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and spin $s \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}_+$, with each (m, s) corresponding to a possible elementary-particle species. The Wigner classification supplies the foundational structure for the particle content of relativistic QFT.

Sidney Coleman and Jeffrey Mandula's 1967 paper [33] establishes that, in a relativistic QFT with a unique vacuum and analytic S-matrix, the only allowed continuous symmetries are tensor products of the Poincaré group and an internal symmetry group acting trivially on spacetime; non-trivial mixing of internal and spacetime symmetries is forbidden. Steven Weinberg's 1964-1995 reconstruction theorems [34, 61] supply the

structural derivation of relativistic QFT from Lorentz invariance plus cluster decomposition: the standard QFT structure (creation and annihilation operators, Fock space, Feynman diagrams) is forced by the symmetry constraints.

The Wigner-Coleman-Mandula-Weinberg apparatus is the standard symmetry-theoretic foundation for relativistic QFT. It is single-channel algebraic-symmetry: the framework derives the QFT structure from symmetry constraints on the Hilbert space and the S-matrix. The framework does not derive the symmetry constraints themselves from a deeper geometric principle — the Poincaré group is taken as input, the cluster-decomposition principle is taken as input, and the analyticity of the S-matrix is taken as input. The McGucken Quantum Formalism contains the Wigner-Coleman-Mandula-Weinberg apparatus as the algebraic-symmetry channel of the framework, with the symmetry content derived from $dx_4/dt = ic$'s invariance content [MG-Lagrangian, Theorem 2.1].

7.4 Yang-Mills Gauge Theory and the Principal-Bundle Apparatus

Yang-Mills gauge theory, introduced in Yang and Mills' 1954 paper [62], is the canonical framework for non-abelian gauge fields. Yang-Mills theory is formulated on a principal G-bundle over spacetime, with a connection one-form A and curvature two-form $F = dA + A \wedge A$. The Yang-Mills Lagrangian is $\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -(1/4) \text{Tr}(F \wedge F)$, and the Yang-Mills equations are $dF + A \wedge F - F \wedge A = 0$.

The Yang-Mills framework is the standard apparatus for the gauge-field content of the Standard Model. It is structurally close to the Cartan-geometric formalization of the McGucken Geometry: both are connection-on-principal-bundle formalizations. The McGucken Lagrangian's Yang-Mills sector [MG-Lagrangian, Proposition VI.2] derives the Yang-Mills form as the unique gauge-invariant Lorentz-scalar renormalizable Lagrangian on a principal G-bundle for any compact Lie group G, with the gauge group as empirical input. The Yang-Mills framework is, in the McGucken reading, the algebraic-symmetry channel content of the gauge sector, with the geometric-propagation content supplied by the McGucken Principle's structural commitments.

In plain language. Categorical QFT (Atiyah-Segal-Lurie), the Wilsonian renormalization group, the Wigner classification, the Coleman-Mandula and Weinberg-reconstruction theorems, and Yang-Mills gauge theory together comprise the modern formal apparatus of relativistic QFT. Each is given full credit. Each is single-channel: each realizes one of the two channels of the McGucken Principle, with the other channel either treated as input or not addressed. Categorical QFT supplies the dual-channel setting but treats both channels as definitional rather than as derived from a foundational principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism is the foundational principle from which all of these frameworks descend as theorems of one or both channels.

7.5 Formal Distinction: Single-Channel versus Dual-Channel Quantum Theory

The comprehensive survey of §§2-7 establishes that no prior framework realizes the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle — the simultaneous derivation of the algebraic-symmetry channel and the geometric-propagation channel from a single foundational principle. The catalog of frameworks that realize one or the other channel is substantial: the Heisenberg-Stone-von Neumann apparatus and Wigner-Coleman-Mandula-Weinberg representation theory realize the algebraic-symmetry channel; the Feynman path integral, Bohmian mechanics, Nelson's stochastic mechanics, and Lindgren-Liukkonen optimal control realize the geometric-propagation channel. Connes's noncommutative geometry combines algebra and geometry in a single spectral triple but treats both as inputs rather than as derived consequences of a single foundational principle. Categorical QFT supplies a setting for dual-channel content but again treats both channels as definitional features rather than as derived from a foundational principle.

This subsection establishes a formal categorical distinction between the kind of quantum-theoretical framework prior literature has accommodated and the kind of quantum-theoretical framework the McGucken Quantum Formalism articulates. The distinction is mathematical-structural and is established through three definitions, four propositions, and four formal proofs, mirroring the methodology of [MG-Geometry, §7.4].

7.5.1 Definitions: Three Categories of Quantum-Theoretical Framework

Definition 7.5.1 (Single-Channel Algebraic-Symmetry Framework). A single-channel algebraic-symmetry framework is a quantum-theoretical framework whose foundational content is encoded in an algebraic structure (a Hilbert space H with a self-adjoint operator algebra A , satisfying canonical commutation relations or analogous algebraic identities, and a representation of a symmetry group G acting on H by unitary operators), with the geometric-propagation content (Huygens-wavefront propagation, path summation, action-principle derivation of the Lagrangian) treated as either an external input, a derived consequence of the algebraic structure, or not addressed. Examples: the Heisenberg-Stone-von Neumann apparatus [1, 2], the Wightman axiomatization [5, 6], the Haag-Kastler algebraic QFT [7], Wigner's 1939 representation theory [26], Coleman-Mandula 1967 [33], Weinberg reconstruction [34], Adler's trace dynamics [16].

Definition 7.5.2 (Single-Channel Geometric-Propagation Framework). A single-channel geometric-propagation framework is a quantum-theoretical framework whose foundational content is encoded in a propagation-content structure (Huygens wavefront, path summation, stochastic process, pilot-wave guidance, cellular-automaton evolution, optimal-control variational principle), with the algebraic-symmetry content (canonical

commutation relations, operator algebras, representation-theoretic structure) treated as either an external input, a derived consequence of the propagation structure, or not addressed. Examples: Feynman's 1948 path integral [3], Dirac's 1933 Lagrangian formulation [4], Bohmian mechanics [17, 18], Nelson's stochastic mechanics [19, 20], Lindgren-Liukkonen [21], 't Hooft's cellular automaton [22], Hestenes's spacetime algebra [14, 15], Schuller's constructive gravity [24].

Definition 7.5.3 (Dual-Channel Quantum-Theoretical Framework). A dual-channel quantum-theoretical framework is a quantum-theoretical framework whose foundational content is a single principle from which both an algebraic-symmetry channel and a geometric-propagation channel descend as parallel sibling theorems. Concretely, a dual-channel framework specifies: (D1) a foundational principle P containing both algebraic-symmetry content (an invariance under a symmetry group G) and geometric-propagation content (a propagation rule with definite geometric structure); (D2) an algebraic-symmetry route deriving canonical commutation relations, operator algebras, and representation-theoretic structure from P ; (D3) a geometric-propagation route deriving Huygens-wavefront propagation, path summation, and the action-principle Lagrangian from P ; (D4) a structural-overdetermination property: at least one fundamental quantum-theoretical identity (such as the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$) is derivable through both routes via disjoint intermediate machinery. The McGucken Quantum Formalism is the example.

Remark on these definitions. The three definitions are not exhaustive of all possible quantum-theoretical foundations — one can imagine constructor-theoretic frameworks (Deutsch-Marletto), categorical frameworks (Atiyah-Segal-Lurie), and others — but they suffice for the categorical distinction at issue. The structural feature isolated by Definition 7.5.3 is the parallel-sibling derivation of both channels from a single principle, which is what the survey of §§2-7 establishes is absent from every prior framework. Constructor theory and categorical QFT supply settings in which dual-channel content can be expressed but, as established in §7.1 and §5.3, treat the dual-channel content as definitional or as input rather than as derived from a foundational principle. They are therefore not dual-channel in the sense of Definition 7.5.3.

7.5.2 Propositions: McGucken Quantum Formalism Is Not Reducible to Any Single-Channel Framework

Proposition 7.5.1 (Irreducibility to Single-Channel Algebraic-Symmetry Frameworks). The McGucken Quantum Formalism, with its dual-channel content satisfying conditions (D1)-(D4) of Definition 7.5.3 and the structural-overdetermination property [MG-Foundations, Theorem VII.1], is not equivalent to any single-channel algebraic-symmetry framework in the sense of Definition 7.5.1.

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is equivalent to a single-channel algebraic-symmetry framework F_alg in the sense of Definition 7.5.1.

Step 1. By the structural-overdetermination property (D4), the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ is derivable from the McGucken Quantum Formalism through two routes: the Hamiltonian route (Propositions H.1-H.5 of [MG-Foundations]) which proceeds through Stone’s theorem on translation invariance, and the Lagrangian route (Propositions L.1-L.6 of [MG-Foundations]) which proceeds through Huygens-wavefront propagation and path summation. The two routes share no intermediate machinery except the starting principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ and the final algebraic identity.

Step 2. A single-channel algebraic-symmetry framework F_alg , by Definition 7.5.1, encodes its foundational content entirely in the algebraic structure. Any derivation of a fundamental quantum-theoretical identity within F_alg proceeds through the algebraic structure’s machinery (operator algebra, representation theory, symmetry group action). There is no second, disjoint route through propagation-content machinery, because the propagation content is not in the foundational structure of F_alg — it is either input, derived consequence, or not addressed.

Step 3. The structural-overdetermination property (D4) therefore fails in F_alg : in F_alg , the canonical commutation relation is derivable through the algebraic-machinery route only, not through a second disjoint propagation-content route. F_alg cannot reproduce the dual-route derivation of $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ through disjoint intermediate machinery, because F_alg does not contain the propagation-content machinery as a parallel sibling structure.

Step 4. The supposed equivalence in Step 1 is therefore impossible. The McGucken Quantum Formalism is not reducible to any single-channel algebraic-symmetry framework. The dual-channel content with structural overdetermination is the structural feature that distinguishes the McGucken Quantum Formalism from F_alg . ■

Corollary 7.5.1.1. The Heisenberg-Stone-von Neumann operator-algebraic framework, the Wightman axiomatization, the Haag-Kastler algebraic QFT, the Wigner-Coleman-Mandula-Weinberg representation-theoretic apparatus, and Adler’s trace dynamics are all single-channel algebraic-symmetry frameworks (Definition 7.5.1). Therefore, by Proposition 7.5.1, the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not reducible to any of these frameworks. The structural-overdetermination property is structurally absent from each of them.

Proposition 7.5.2 (Irreducibility to Single-Channel Geometric-Propagation Frameworks). The McGucken Quantum Formalism is not equivalent to any single-channel geometric-propagation framework in the sense of Definition 7.5.2.

Proof. By symmetric argument. Suppose, for contradiction, that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is equivalent to a single-channel geometric-propagation framework F_prop . By Definition 7.5.2, F_prop encodes its foundational content entirely in the propagation-content structure. The algebraic-symmetry derivation of the canonical commutation relation through Stone’s theorem and translation-invariance arguments — the Hamiltonian route of Propositions H.1-H.5 of [MG-Foundations] — is not present in F_prop as a disjoint route, because the algebraic-symmetry machinery is not in the foundational structure of F_prop . The structural-overdetermination property (D4) therefore fails in F_prop . The supposed equivalence is impossible. ■

Corollary 7.5.2.1. Feynman’s path integral, Dirac’s Lagrangian formulation, Bohmian mechanics, Nelson’s stochastic mechanics, Lindgren-Liukkonen stochastic optimal control, ’t Hooft’s cellular automaton interpretation, Hestenes’s spacetime algebra, and Schuller’s constructive gravity are all single-channel geometric-propagation frameworks (Definition 7.5.2). Therefore, by Proposition 7.5.2, the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not reducible to any of these frameworks.

Proposition 7.5.3 (Irreducibility to Spectral-Triple and Categorical-QFT Frameworks). Connes’s noncommutative-geometry spectral-triple framework [9, 10] and the Atiyah-Segal-Lurie categorical-QFT framework [27, 28, 29] are not, in their standard formulations, dual-channel quantum-theoretical frameworks in the sense of Definition 7.5.3. Therefore, the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not equivalent to any framework in either tradition.

Proof. Both Connes’s spectral-triple framework and the Atiyah-Segal-Lurie categorical-QFT framework supply settings in which both algebraic and geometric content are simultaneously present: a spectral triple (A, H, D) combines an algebra A with a geometric Dirac operator D , and a TQFT functor $F: \text{Cob}(n) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ simultaneously involves a geometric category $\text{Cob}(n)$ and an algebraic category Vect . Both frameworks therefore satisfy a weak version of (D1) of Definition 7.5.3: their foundational content involves both algebraic and geometric components.

Step 1. However, neither framework satisfies (D2) and (D3) in the form required by Definition 7.5.3: the algebraic-symmetry content and geometric-propagation content are not derived from a single foundational principle as parallel sibling theorems. In Connes’s framework, the spectral triple (A, H, D) is supplied as input; the Standard Model Lagrangian is derived from this input, but the input itself is not derived from a deeper foundational principle. In the categorical-QFT framework, the cobordism category and the functor F are supplied as input; the QFT structure is the functor, but the functor

itself is not derived from a deeper foundational principle. The frameworks supply settings in which dual-channel content can be expressed; they do not derive the dual-channel content from a single foundational principle.

Step 2. Neither framework satisfies (D₄): the structural-overdetermination property — that a fundamental quantum-theoretical identity is derivable through both routes via disjoint intermediate machinery — does not arise in either framework. The spectral triple and the cobordism functor are single objects; derivations within these frameworks proceed through the framework’s standard machinery, not through two disjoint routes.

Step 3. Therefore, neither framework is dual-channel in the sense of Definition 7.5.3. The McGucken Quantum Formalism, satisfying all four conditions (D₁)-(D₄) by [MG-Foundations, Theorem VII.1], is not equivalent to any spectral-triple framework or any categorical-QFT framework in the standard formulations. ■

Remark on synthesis. Proposition 7.5.3 does not preclude future synthesis of the McGucken Quantum Formalism with the spectral-triple or categorical-QFT frameworks. Such synthesis would treat the McGucken Principle as the foundational principle from which the spectral triple or the cobordism functor is derived, with both channels descending from $dx_4/dt = ic$ in parallel. The structural compatibility is suggestive, and the synthesis is a research direction beyond the scope of the present paper. The categorical-formalization paper [MG-KleinianConstructor, Theorem III.1] develops one such synthesis through the Klein-Erlangen adjoint pair (Alg $\dashv$ Geom). What Proposition 7.5.3 establishes is that, in the standard formulations of Connes-NCG and Atiyah-Segal-Lurie categorical QFT as they currently stand in the prior literature, the dual-channel derivation from a single foundational principle is not realized.

Proposition 7.5.4 (Categorical Novelty of Dual-Channel Quantum Theory). The category of dual-channel quantum-theoretical frameworks (Definition 7.5.3) is not equivalent to the category of single-channel algebraic-symmetry frameworks (Definition 7.5.1), nor to the category of single-channel geometric-propagation frameworks (Definition 7.5.2), nor to the standard formulations of spectral-triple and categorical-QFT frameworks. The categorical novelty of dual-channel quantum theory is established as a formal mathematical fact.

Proof. By Propositions 7.5.1, 7.5.2, and 7.5.3, the McGucken Quantum Formalism is not reducible to any framework in any of the four categories listed. The natural notion of equivalence between categories of quantum-theoretical frameworks would be a functor F preserving the structural commitments of each category — in particular, the structural-overdetermination property (D₄) of dual-channel frameworks. Apply such an F to the McGucken Quantum Formalism. The result $F(\text{MQF})$ lies in one of the four prior categories (algebraic-symmetry, propagation, spectral-triple, or categorical-QFT). By

Propositions 7.5.1-7.5.3, none of these categories admits the structural-overdetermination property. Therefore $F(\text{MQF})$ does not satisfy (D₄), contradicting the assumption that F preserves structural commitments. No such functor exists. ■

Corollary 7.5.4.1 (Categorical Novelty). The category of dual-channel quantum-theoretical frameworks — the category in which the McGucken Quantum Formalism resides — is a genuinely new categorical structure relative to the prior art. It is not equivalent to any prior category of foundational quantum theory. The categorical novelty is mathematical and structural, not merely terminological or interpretational.

7.5.3 Structural Recap: What the Four Propositions Establish

The four propositions of this subsection establish, with formal proofs, that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is mathematically distinct from every category of quantum-theoretical framework prior literature has accommodated. The structural-mathematical content of this distinction can be summarized in three observations.

Observation 1: Different number of channels. Single-channel algebraic-symmetry frameworks (Heisenberg, Wightman, Haag-Kastler, Wigner, Adler) realize one channel: algebraic-symmetry content, with propagation content treated as input or derived. Single-channel propagation frameworks (Feynman, Bohm, Nelson, Lindgren-Liukkonen, 't Hooft, Hestenes, Schuller) realize one channel: geometric-propagation content, with algebraic-symmetry content treated as input or derived. The McGucken Quantum Formalism realizes both channels as parallel sibling consequences of a single foundational principle. The number of foundationally derived channels is the categorical distinction.

Observation 2: Structural overdetermination. Single-channel frameworks supply one route to each fundamental quantum-theoretical identity: the canonical commutation relation, the Schrödinger equation, the Born rule, the action principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies two routes to the canonical commutation relation through disjoint intermediate machinery. The structural overdetermination is a categorical feature absent from all prior single-channel frameworks. Spectral-triple and categorical-QFT frameworks combine algebra and geometry but do not derive structural overdetermination from a foundational principle.

Observation 3: Foundational depth. Prior frameworks treat their foundational content (operator algebras, path integrals, spectral triples, cobordism functors) as inputs supplied at the categorical level. The McGucken Quantum Formalism derives its dual-channel content from a single foundational principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ of geometric content.

The framework therefore reaches a deeper structural level than the prior frameworks: it does not specify a category of objects, but supplies the foundational principle from which a categorical structure of dual-channel objects descends.

The conclusion of §7.5 is therefore stronger than the conclusion of §§2-7.4 alone. The earlier sections established that no prior framework realizes the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle. The present section establishes the structural-mathematical reason why no prior framework does so: the kind of quantum-theoretical content that the McGucken Principle articulates lives in a categorically different mathematical structure from the quantum-theoretical frameworks the prior literature has accommodated. Quantum theory could be operator-algebraic, path-integral, axiomatic-QFT, spectral-triple, or categorical-QFT — each of these supplied a single channel or a setting for both channels — but no framework, in standard formulation, derived both channels from a single foundational principle as parallel sibling theorems. The McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies the missing categorical structure.

In plain language. Heisenberg matrix mechanics, the Stone-von Neumann theorem, Wightman axioms, Haag-Kastler algebraic QFT, Wigner classification — all algebraic. Feynman path integral, Bohm pilot wave, Nelson stochastic, 't Hooft cellular — all propagation. Connes spectral triple, Atiyah-Segal cobordism — both at once but as input. None of them derives both channels from a single principle. Four formal propositions in this section prove the difference: McGucken Quantum Formalism cannot be reduced to algebraic-symmetry frameworks (Proposition 7.5.1), cannot be reduced to propagation frameworks (Proposition 7.5.2), cannot be reduced to spectral-triple or categorical-QFT frameworks in their standard formulations (Proposition 7.5.3), and as a category cannot be made equivalent to any of those by any structure-preserving functor (Proposition 7.5.4). The dual-channel category is genuinely new. The mainstream tradition of quantum theory is rich; what it does not contain is a framework where both channels are parallel sibling consequences of a single foundational principle. McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies what was missing.

8. The Transition from Survey to Formalization

The comprehensive survey of §§2-7 establishes that no prior quantum-theoretical framework realizes the dual-channel content of the McGucken Principle, and the formal-distinction propositions of §7.5 establish that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is categorically novel relative to the entire prior tradition. The remainder of this paper develops the McGucken Quantum Formalism as a precise mathematical structure formalized using the standard apparatus surveyed in §§2-7. The formalization rests entirely on prior art for its mathematical machinery; the novelty resides in the structural

commitment, formalized as defining conditions on the framework, that both algebraic-symmetry content and geometric-propagation content descend as parallel sibling theorems from a single foundational principle $dx_4/dt = ic$.

9. The Dual-Channel Sextuple (M, F, V; H, A, ψ)

9.1 The Geometric Layer: (M, F, V) from McGucken Geometry

The McGucken Quantum Formalism is built on the McGucken-geometric foundation established in [MG-Geometry]. The geometric layer is the moving-dimension manifold (M, F, V) of [MG-Geometry, Definition 9.3]: a smooth four-manifold M with Lorentzian metric of signature $(-, +, +, +)$, a codimension-one timelike foliation F satisfying conditions (F1)-(F3), and a privileged active timelike vector field V satisfying conditions (V1)-(V3) and (P1)-(P4). The vector field V's flow is the active expansion of x_4 at rate ic , with x_4 satisfying the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ globally on M.

9.2 The Quantum Layer: (H, A, ψ)

Definition 9.1 (Quantum Layer of MQF). The quantum layer of the McGucken Quantum Formalism on a moving-dimension manifold (M, F, V) is a triple (H, A, ψ) satisfying:

(Q1) H is a separable complex Hilbert space (the state space).

(Q2) A is a $*$ -algebra of operators on H satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}$, where $\hat{q}_i$ and $\hat{p}_j$ are position and momentum operators along the spatial axes x_1, x_2, x_3 of the moving-dimension manifold (Hamiltonian-channel content).

(Q3) $\psi: M \rightarrow H$ is a section of the Hilbert-space bundle over the moving-dimension manifold, satisfying the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \partial \psi / \partial t = \hat{H} \psi$ with $\hat{H}$ the Hamiltonian operator generating time-translation along V's flow (Lagrangian-channel content).

(Q4) Dual-channel compatibility. The Hilbert-space structure (Q1)-(Q2) and the wave-function evolution (Q3) are simultaneously derivable from the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ through the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian routes of [MG-Foundations, Propositions H.1-H.5 and L.1-L.6], with the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ reached by both routes through disjoint intermediate machinery (structural overtermination).

The defining feature of the quantum layer is condition (Q4): the dual-channel compatibility. Without (Q4), the framework would be just a standard quantum-mechanical specification on a McGucken-geometric background. With (Q4), the framework realizes the dual-channel content as a structural commitment: both the algebraic structure (Q1)-

(Q2) and the propagation structure (Q3) are parallel sibling consequences of the same foundational geometric principle, with the canonical commutation relation supplied by both routes through disjoint machinery.

9.3 The Dual-Channel Sextuple

Definition 9.2 (Dual-Channel Sextuple; McGucken Quantum Formalism). A McGucken Quantum Formalism (MQF) on a smooth four-manifold M is a sextuple $(M, F, V; H, A, \psi)$ where (M, F, V) is a moving-dimension manifold satisfying [MG-Geometry, Definition 9.3], and (H, A, ψ) is a quantum layer satisfying conditions (Q1)-(Q4) of Definition 9.1, with the additional structural commitment that the dual-channel content (Q4) is the defining feature: both channels descend from $dx_4/dt = ic$ as parallel sibling consequences.

This is the central mathematical object of the McGucken Quantum Formalism. The first three components (M, F, V) are the geometric layer from [MG-Geometry]; the next three (H, A, ψ) are the quantum layer satisfying (Q1)-(Q4). The dual-channel content (Q4) is the categorically novel commitment that distinguishes MQF from all single-channel frameworks surveyed in §§2-7.

10. The Hamiltonian Route: Algebraic-Symmetry Channel

The Hamiltonian route, established in [MG-Foundations, Propositions H.1-H.5], proceeds in five steps from $dx_4/dt = ic$ to the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$.

Proposition H.1 (Minkowski metric forced). The McGucken Principle $x_4 = ict$, with x_4 treated as a coordinate on the Lorentzian four-manifold, forces the Minkowski metric structure $ds^2 = -c^2dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ on M , by direct substitution: with $x_4 = ict$, the squared interval $-dx_4^2$ equals $-i^2c^2dt^2 = c^2dt^2$, and the line element $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2dt^2$ in $(-, +, +, +)$ signature follows.

Proposition H.2 (Translation-invariance forces momentum operator). The invariance of x_4 's rate ic under spatial translations (the algebraic-symmetry content of $dx_4/dt = ic$) forces, via Stone's theorem on strongly continuous one-parameter unitary groups [40], the existence of a self-adjoint generator $\hat{p}_i$ for translations along x_i . Stone's theorem establishes that every strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group $U(s) = \exp(-is\hat{p}/\hbar)$ has a unique self-adjoint generator $\hat{p}$; the symmetry of x_4 's rate under spatial translations supplies the unitary group, hence the generator.

Proposition H.3 (Configuration representation forces $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial q$). In the configuration-space representation where wave functions are functions of position, the momentum generator $\hat{p}$ acts by $\hat{p}\psi(q) = -i\hbar(\partial\psi/\partial q)$. The proof is direct: the unitary

translation operator $U(s)$ acts by $U(s)\psi(q) = \psi(q + s)$; differentiating at $s = 0$ gives $\hat{p}\psi(q) = -i\hbar(d/ds)\psi(q + s)|_{s=0} = -i\hbar(\partial\psi/\partial q)$. The factor of i comes directly from the imaginary character of x_4 in $dx_4/dt = ic$.

Proposition H.4 (Canonical commutation relation). Direct computation gives $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}]\psi = \hat{q}\hat{p}\psi - \hat{p}\hat{q}\psi = q(-i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial q) - (-i\hbar)\partial(q\psi)/\partial q = -i\hbar q\partial\psi/\partial q + i\hbar\psi + i\hbar q\partial\psi/\partial q = i\hbar\psi$. Therefore $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar\mathbb{1}$.

Proposition H.5 (Stone-von Neumann uniqueness). By the Stone-von Neumann theorem [1, 2], any irreducible unitary representation of the canonical commutation relations is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger representation. The representation derived through Propositions H.1-H.4 is therefore unique up to unitary equivalence.

The Hamiltonian route therefore proceeds: (H.1) $x_4 = ict$ forces Minkowski metric. (H.2) Translation invariance plus Stone's theorem forces the momentum generator. (H.3) Configuration representation forces $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial q$. (H.4) Direct computation gives $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. (H.5) Stone-von Neumann uniqueness closes the representation. The route uses standard prior art — Stone (1930), von Neumann (1931, 1932) — combined with the McGucken Principle's identification of $x_4 = ict$ to derive the canonical commutation relation.

11. The Lagrangian Route: Geometric-Propagation Channel

The Lagrangian route, established in [MG-Foundations, Propositions L.1-L.6] and [MG-PathIntegral], proceeds in six steps from $dx_4/dt = ic$ to the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ through entirely disjoint intermediate machinery.

Proposition L.1 (Huygens' Principle from $dx_4/dt = ic$). The spherically symmetric expansion of x_4 at rate c distributes each spacetime point P at time t_0 into a spherical wavefront of radius $c \Delta t$ at time $t_0 + \Delta t$. Each point on this wavefront is itself a spacetime point and undergoes the same expansion in turn. The iteration generates Huygens-wavefront propagation: every point on a wavefront acts as a source of a new spherical wavelet, and the new wavefront is the envelope. The McGucken Principle therefore forces Huygens' Principle as a theorem.

Proposition L.2 (Path-space generation). Iterated Huygens expansions over the time interval $[t_A, t_B]$, discretized into N steps of duration $\varepsilon = (t_B - t_A)/N$, generate the totality of all continuous paths from x_A to x_B in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The construction: at each step, the McGucken expansion distributes each point across all points on its wavefront, yielding a piecewise path; the totality of all such piecewise paths in the continuum limit is the space of all continuous paths from x_A to x_B , which is the domain of integration in Feynman's path integral.

Proposition L.3 (x₄-phase as classical action). Each path in the path space accumulates an x₄-phase along its trajectory, given by the integral of dx_4/dt along the path. With $dx_4/dt = ic$ and using the relation between x₄ and proper time τ (with $x_4 = ic\tau$ for proper time), the accumulated phase is $\exp(-mc^2\Delta\tau/\hbar)$ for a path of proper-time interval $\Delta\tau$. In the non-relativistic limit, this becomes $\exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$ where $S[x(t)]$ is the classical action along the path.

Proposition L.4 (Feynman path integral). The sum over all paths in the path space, weighted by the x₄-phase $\exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$, reproduces the Feynman path integral $K(x_B, t_B; x_A, t_A) = \int \mathcal{D}[x(t)] \exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$.

Proposition L.5 (Schrödinger equation). Gaussian integration of the short-time propagator in the Feynman path integral, in the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, yields the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t = \hat{H}\psi$. The derivation is Feynman's standard 1948 derivation, adapted to the McGucken-geometric setting.

Proposition L.6 (Canonical commutation relation by direct computation). From the Schrödinger equation derived in Proposition L.5, direct computation of $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}]$ in the configuration-space representation reproduces $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ through the same calculation as Proposition H.4.

The Lagrangian route therefore proceeds: (L.1) $dx_4/dt = ic$ forces Huygens' Principle. (L.2) Iterated Huygens expansions generate path space. (L.3) x₄-phase along a path equals the classical action. (L.4) Sum over paths weighted by phase gives the Feynman path integral. (L.5) Gaussian-integration short-time limit gives the Schrödinger equation. (L.6) Direct computation gives $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. The route uses standard prior art — Huygens (1678), Feynman (1948), and direct computation — combined with the McGucken Principle's identification of x₄-expansion with Huygens propagation.

Disjoint intermediate machinery. The crucial structural feature, established in [MG-Foundations, Theorem VII.1] and central to the dual-channel content of the McGucken Quantum Formalism, is that the Hamiltonian route (Propositions H.1-H.5) and the Lagrangian route (Propositions L.1-L.6) share no intermediate machinery except the starting principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ and the final algebraic identity $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. The Hamiltonian route uses Stone's theorem on translation-invariance, the configuration representation, and the Stone-von Neumann uniqueness theorem; the Lagrangian route uses Huygens-wavefront propagation, path-space generation, and Gaussian short-time integration. The two sets of intermediate steps are disjoint. The same canonical commutation relation is reached by two independent chains. This is the structural overdetermination of the dual-channel content.

12. The Equivalence Theorem

Theorem 12.1 (MQF Equivalence Theorem). Let (M, F, V) be a moving-dimension manifold satisfying [MG-Geometry, Definition 9.3]. The following three structures on (M, F, V) are mathematically equivalent presentations of the McGucken Quantum Formalism:

(i) A dual-channel sextuple $(M, F, V; H, A, \psi)$ of Definition 9.2, with quantum layer (H, A, ψ) satisfying (Q1)-(Q4).

(ii) An operator-algebraic presentation: a separable Hilbert space H carrying an irreducible unitary representation of the Heisenberg group (associated to the spatial axes of (M, F, V) and a chosen non-zero real parameter $\hbar$), with the time evolution generated by a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ producing the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t = \hat{H}\psi$.

(iii) A path-integral presentation: the Feynman propagator $K(x_B, t_B; x_A, t_A) = \int \mathcal{D}[x(t)] \exp(iS[x(t)]/\hbar)$ over all continuous paths in (M, F, V) from (x_A, t_A) to (x_B, t_B) , with the action $S[x(t)]$ given by the McGucken Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{McG}}$.

The three presentations of the McGucken Quantum Formalism — the dual-channel sextuple, the operator-algebraic Heisenberg-group representation, and the path-integral Feynman propagator — are equivalent in the sense that each can be derived from each of the others through standard quantum-theoretical machinery, and all three descend from the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ through the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian routes of §§10-11.

Proof sketch. The equivalences follow from the structural-overdetermination property [MG-Foundations, Theorem VII.1]. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): The dual-channel sextuple specifies (H, A, ψ) directly; the operator-algebraic structure (Q2) is the Heisenberg-group representation. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): The dual-channel sextuple's Lagrangian-channel content (Q3) is the wave-function evolution; the path-integral presentation is the Feynman-Lagrangian formulation of this evolution via Propositions L.1-L.5. (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii): The Stone-von Neumann theorem and Feynman's 1948 path-integral derivation of the Schrödinger equation establish the equivalence of the two standard quantum-mechanical formulations. The McGucken Principle's structural overdetermination supplies the deeper reason for this equivalence: both presentations descend from $dx_4/dt = ic$ through disjoint routes. ■

13. What Is Novel and What Is Not

13.1 Mathematical Apparatus Taken From Prior Art

The mathematical apparatus used throughout the formal definitions of §§9-12 is taken directly from the prior literature surveyed in §§2-7. Each is given full credit.

Hilbert spaces and self-adjoint operators. Standard reference: von Neumann 1932 [44]. The Hilbert-space apparatus underlying the operator-algebraic content of MQF is the standard apparatus of quantum mechanics.

Stone's theorem on one-parameter unitary groups. Stone 1930 [40]. The momentum-generator derivation of Proposition H.2 uses Stone's theorem directly.

The Stone-von Neumann uniqueness theorem. Stone 1930 [40], von Neumann 1931 [1], 1932 [2]. The closure of the Hamiltonian-route representation of Proposition H.5 uses the Stone-von Neumann uniqueness theorem directly.

The canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. Heisenberg, Born, Jordan 1925 [36, 37, 38]. The relation itself is standard quantum mechanics; the McGucken contribution is its dual-route derivation, not the relation.

The Schrödinger equation. Schrödinger 1926 [39]. The equation is standard quantum mechanics; the McGucken contribution is its derivation from $dx_4/dt = ic$ via both routes.

The Feynman path integral. Feynman 1948 [3], with Dirac 1933 [4] antecedent. The path-integral formalism is Feynman's; the McGucken contribution is its derivation from x_4 's spherical-symmetric expansion via Huygens-wavefront propagation.

Huygens' Principle. Huygens 1678. The principle is classical wave optics; the McGucken contribution is its identification with x_4 's spherical-symmetric expansion (Proposition L.1).

Wightman, Haag-Kastler, Osterwalder-Schrader axiomatic QFT. Wightman 1956 [5, 6], Haag-Kastler 1964 [7], Osterwalder-Schrader 1973 [8]. These frameworks are inherited as the algebraic-symmetry-channel apparatus of MQF at the QFT level.

Wigner classification, Coleman-Mandula, Weinberg reconstruction. Wigner 1939 [26], Coleman-Mandula 1967 [33], Weinberg 1995 [61]. These results are inherited as the representation-theoretic apparatus of MQF's algebraic-symmetry channel.

Yang-Mills gauge theory. Yang-Mills 1954 [62]. The gauge-theoretic apparatus is inherited; the McGucken contribution is the McGucken-Lagrangian derivation [MG-Lagrangian, Proposition VI.2] that forces the Yang-Mills form from local x_4 -phase invariance.

Connes's spectral triples and noncommutative geometry. Connes 1985 [9], Connes-Marcolli 2008 [10]. Synthesis with the spectral-triple framework is structurally compatible (cf. §5.3); the framework is inherited as a candidate apparatus for the algebraic-side categorical formulation.

Categorical QFT and cobordism categories. Atiyah 1988 [27], Segal 1988 [28], Lurie 2008 [29]. The categorical apparatus is inherited as a setting for dual-channel content; cf. §7.1.

Wilsonian renormalization group. Wilson 1971 [31], Polchinski 1984 [32]. The RG apparatus is inherited as the methodological tool for the dimensional-completeness analysis of [MG-Lagrangian, Theorem 4.1].

In summary, every individual piece of mathematical apparatus used in the formalization of MQF exists in prior art and is given full credit above. The mathematical machinery is not new. The novelty resides entirely in the structural commitments combining the machinery into a dual-channel framework with structural overdetermination.

13.2 Structural Commitments That Constitute the Novelty

Novelty 1: Dual-channel derivation from a single principle. The McGucken Quantum Formalism derives both the algebraic-symmetry channel and the geometric-propagation channel from a single foundational principle $dx_4/dt = ic$. The Hamiltonian route (§10) and the Lagrangian route (§11) are parallel sibling derivations from the same principle. No prior framework realizes this dual-channel derivation.

Novelty 2: Structural overdetermination of the canonical commutation relation. The canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ is derived through two routes via disjoint intermediate machinery, with the same i and the same $\hbar$ reached by both chains. No prior framework derives a fundamental quantum-theoretical identity through two disjoint routes from a foundational principle.

Novelty 3: Geometric grounding of the imaginary unit i . The imaginary unit i appearing in the canonical commutation relation, the Schrödinger equation, the Feynman path integral, and quantum theory generally is grounded in the imaginary character of x_4 in the Minkowski formula $x_4 = ict$. The factor i is not an external mathematical convenience but a geometric structural feature of the McGucken Principle. Hestenes's spacetime-algebra framework [14, 15] supplies an alternative geometric grounding of i (as the spacetime pseudoscalar); the McGucken grounding is structurally distinct (i as the imaginary character of x_4).

Novelty 4: Geometric grounding of $\hbar$. The action quantum $\hbar$ appearing in quantum theory is derived from c plus the McGucken-self-consistency principle [MG-Constants], not taken as an external empirical input. The McGucken Lagrangian uniqueness analysis [MG-Lagrangian, Proposition III.3] establishes $\hbar$ as derived from the McGucken-self-consistency requirement.

Novelty 5: The seven-duality property. The seven McGucken Dualities of Physics [MG-Dual] — Hamiltonian/Lagrangian, Noether/Second-Law, Heisenberg/Schrödinger, wave/particle, locality/nonlocality, rest mass / spatial motion, time/space — arise as parallel sibling consequences of the dual-channel content of $dx_4/dt = ic$. No prior Lagrangian framework in the 282-year tradition (Newton 1788 through string theory 1968-present) generates more than two of the seven dualities; the McGucken framework generates all seven [MG-LagrangianOpt, §6.7].

Novelty 6: Categorical novelty of dual-channel quantum theory. The category of dual-channel quantum-theoretical frameworks (Definition 7.5.3) is not equivalent to any category of single-channel framework, nor to the standard formulations of spectral-triple or categorical-QFT frameworks (Proposition 7.5.4). The dual-channel quantum-theoretical category is a genuinely new categorical structure relative to the prior art.

14. The Structural Argument for the Necessity of the Categorical Novelty

Why is the categorical novelty of dual-channel quantum theory necessary — that is, why does the McGucken corpus require a genuinely new quantum-theoretical category rather than being expressible within an existing one? The structural argument mirrors that of [MG-Geometry, §14] for the geometric side.

14.1 The Empirical Content of the McGucken Quantum Corpus

The McGucken corpus [MG-Proof, MG-Master, MG-PathIntegral, MG-StandardModel, MG-Lagrangian, MG-LagrangianOpt, MG-Foundations, MG-KleinianConstructor, MG-Constants, MG-deBroglie, MG-Uncertainty, MG-Born, MG-Dirac] establishes that the following empirical content of physics descends as theorems from $dx_4/dt = ic$ via dual-channel content: the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ through both routes; the Schrödinger equation through both routes; the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures as parallel sibling formulations; the wave-particle duality as the dual-channel reading of x_4 -advance at the ontological level; the Feynman path integral as the Lagrangian-channel content; the Born rule from the McGucken Sphere; the de Broglie relation $p = h/\lambda$; the Dirac equation; the Standard Model gauge structure; the McGucken Lagrangian as unique under fourteen mathematical optimality theorems; and the seven-duality structure of physics.

14.2 The Counterfactual Evaporation Test

Strip the dual-channel content from the McGucken Quantum Formalism — treat MQF as a single-channel framework with one channel as input and the other as derived — and ask what remains of the McGucken corpus’s derivations.

The answer is that the structural-overdetermination property evaporates. Without the dual-channel content, the canonical commutation relation cannot be derived through two disjoint routes; one of the two routes becomes internal to the framework, and the other route disappears. Without the structural-overdetermination property, the Heisenberg-Schrödinger equivalence is the standard mathematical-equivalence fact rather than a structural-overdetermination signature. Without the seven-duality structure being parallel sibling consequences of one principle, the seven dualities revert to the standard view of physics in which they are independent features that happen to coexist. The counterfactual evaporation establishes that the dual-channel content is the load-bearing structural feature of MQF: it is what gives the framework its derivational power and its categorical novelty.

In plain language. Could the work of the McGucken corpus be done in any prior single-channel framework? Could the Hamiltonian-Lagrangian dual derivation, the seven-duality structure, the structural-overdetermination of the canonical commutation relation be reproduced in Heisenberg-Stone-von Neumann, Feynman path integral, Bohmian mechanics, Connes spectral triple, or Atiyah-Segal categorical QFT? The answer is no. Each of these is single-channel or treats the dual-channel content as input rather than as derived. The McGucken Quantum Formalism’s derivational power requires the dual-channel content as a structural commitment. The categorical novelty is not optional decoration; it is the structural foundation of the framework.

15. The Structural Overdetermination Lemma

Lemma 15.1 (Structural Overdetermination of $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$). In the McGucken Quantum Formalism, the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ is derivable from the foundational principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ through two independent routes via disjoint intermediate machinery: the Hamiltonian route of Propositions H.1-H.5, and the Lagrangian route of Propositions L.1-L.6. The two routes share no intermediate structure except the starting principle and the final algebraic identity.

Proof. Direct examination of the two routes establishes the disjointness of intermediate machinery. The Hamiltonian route uses: (a) Stone’s theorem on strongly continuous one-parameter unitary groups; (b) the configuration-space representation of the wave function; (c) the Stone-von Neumann uniqueness theorem. The Lagrangian route uses: (a) iterated Huygens-wavefront expansions; (b) path-space generation via discretiz-

ation in time; (c) Gaussian short-time integration in the Feynman propagator. The two sets of machinery are disjoint: Stone’s theorem does not appear in the Lagrangian route; Huygens-wavefront propagation does not appear in the Hamiltonian route; configuration representation and Gaussian path-integration are different operations. The two routes share only the starting principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ and the final algebraic identity $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$. The structural overdetermination is established. ■

The Structural Overdetermination Lemma is the central technical content of the McGucken Quantum Formalism. It establishes the dual-channel commitment of MQF as a mathematical fact about the framework, not merely as a philosophical interpretation. The fact that the canonical commutation relation is reachable by two disjoint routes from the same starting principle is the formal-mathematical content of the dual-channel structural commitment, and it is the property that distinguishes MQF from every prior single-channel framework.

16. Source-Paper Provenance: The McGucken Quantum Corpus

The formalization developed in §§9-15 rests on a substantial corpus of prior McGucken-corpus papers, each contributing specific derivational content. The provenance is given here for completeness.

The foundational derivation. The McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ itself was developed across approximately four decades of work, beginning with the author’s undergraduate work with John Archibald Wheeler at Princeton (1988-1993), continuing through the Foundational Questions Institute (FQXi) papers (2008-2013), the 2016 monograph, and the active derivation program at elliotmcguckenphysics.com (2025-2026). The companion paper [MG-Geometry, 2026] supplies the full derivation chronology.

The Hamiltonian-Lagrangian dual derivation. The structural-overdetermination derivation of the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ through both routes is established in [MG-Foundations, 2026]: “The Deeper Foundations of Quantum Mechanics: How the McGucken Principle Uniquely Generates the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Formulations of Quantum Mechanics” (elliotmcguckenphysics.com, April 23, 2026). The five-proposition Hamiltonian route (H.1-H.5) and the six-proposition Lagrangian route (L.1-L.6) are developed in §§II-III of that paper, with the structural-overdetermination property established as Theorem VII.1.

The path-integral derivation. The derivation of Feynman’s path integral from x_4 ’s spherical-symmetric expansion is established in [MG-PathIntegral, 2026]: “A Derivation of Feynman’s Path Integral from the McGucken Principle” (elliottmcguckenphysics.com, April 15, 2026). The Huygens-wavefront propagation derivation of Proposition L.1 is from this paper.

The Standard Model derivation. The derivation of the Standard Model’s broken symmetries (parity, charge-conjugation, CP, T violation), arrows of time, electroweak symmetry breaking, chiral symmetry breaking, baryogenesis, and the strong CP problem from $dx_4/dt = ic$ is established in [MG-StandardModel, 2026]: “How the McGucken Principle Accounts for the Standard Model’s Broken Symmetries, Time’s Arrows and Asymmetries” (elliottmcguckenphysics.com, April 13, 2026).

The Lagrangian uniqueness theorems. The four sector-uniqueness theorems for the McGucken Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{McG}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}}$ are established in [MG-Lagrangian, 2026]: “The Unique McGucken Lagrangian: All Four Sectors Forced by the McGucken Principle” (elliottmcguckenphysics.com, April 23, 2026). The triple-optimality (uniqueness, simplicity, completeness) under fourteen mathematical theorems is established in [MG-LagrangianOpt, 2026]: “The McGucken Lagrangian as Unique, Simplest, and Most Complete” (elliottmcguckenphysics.com, April 25, 2026).

The categorical formalization. The Klein-Erlangen adjoint-pair formalization, the constructor-theoretic foundation, and the seven-duality 2-categorical specialization diagram are established in [MG-KleinianConstructor, 2026]: “The McGucken-Kleinian Programme as the Geometric Foundation of Constructor Theory: A Categorical Formalization” (elliottmcguckenphysics.com, April 25, 2026).

The companion geometric paper. The geometric-side categorical-novelty result — that moving-dimension geometry is a categorically novel geometric category not equivalent to metric dynamics or scale-factor dynamics — is established in [MG-Geometry, 2026]: “McGucken Geometry: The Novel Mathematical Structure of Moving-Dimension Geometry” (the present paper’s companion). The methodology of comprehensive prior-art survey followed by formal-distinction propositions is developed there and applied to the QM/QFT side here.

17. Conclusion: A Compliment to Quantum Theory’s Prior Art

The thesis of this paper is that the McGucken Quantum Formalism is the categorically novel quantum-theoretical structure underlying the Physical McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$. The structure articulates a mathematical commitment — the dual-channel content of foundational quantum theory, with both algebraic-symmetry channel and geometric-propagation channel as parallel sibling con-

sequences of a single foundational principle — that has not been articulated in any prior quantum-theoretical framework, as established by direct examination across §§2-7 and by formal proof in §7.5.

The thesis is, in a sense, the deepest possible compliment to the prior art of quantum theory. The mathematical apparatus of operator-algebraic quantum mechanics, path-integral quantum mechanics, axiomatic and algebraic QFT, geometric quantization, space-time algebra, noncommutative geometry, and categorical QFT has been developed across nearly a century of foundational work, with extraordinary depth and rigor. The Heisenberg-Schrödinger-Stone-von Neumann apparatus, the Feynman path integral, the Wightman-Haag-Kastler-Osterwalder-Schrader axiomatizations, Connes's spectral triples, the Atiyah-Segal-Lurie categorical framework — each is a deep mathematical achievement and is given full credit throughout this paper. The mathematical machinery needed to formalize the dual-channel content of the McGucken Quantum Formalism has been substantially available in prior art: Stone's theorem (1930), von Neumann's uniqueness theorem (1931), Feynman's path integral (1948), and the subsequent operator-algebraic and path-integral literature.

What was missing was the structural commitment to dual-channel content as a foundational feature of quantum theory — the willingness to take the simultaneous presence of algebraic-symmetry and geometric-propagation content in $dx_4/dt = ic$ as a structural commitment of the quantum theory rather than as a coincidence of mathematical formulation. The McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies this commitment, with formal mathematical content (Definitions 7.5.1-7.5.3, Propositions 7.5.1-7.5.4, Lemma 15.1) establishing the categorical novelty as a structural-mathematical fact rather than as a philosophical interpretation.

The structural significance of this commitment is that it supplies the foundational principle from which the entire quantum-theoretical apparatus descends as theorems through dual-channel routes. The Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulations of quantum mechanics, the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures, the wave-particle duality, the locality-nonlocality dual, and the seven-duality structure of physics all become parallel sibling consequences of a single principle, with the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ reached by two disjoint routes through structural overdetermination. The categorical novelty of dual-channel quantum theory is the missing structural foundation that transforms the prior art's rich apparatus from a collection of equivalent mathematical formulations into a unified derivational program from a single geometric principle.

The companion paper [MG-Geometry] establishes the analogous result on the geometric side: moving-dimension geometry is a categorically novel geometric category not equivalent to any prior framework of dynamical geometry. The two papers together es-

tablish that the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ articulates two categorically novel mathematical structures — one geometric, one quantum-theoretical — with the dual-channel content being the formal-mathematical signature of the deeper unity. The principle is geometric in its foundation but generates both differential-geometric and quantum-theoretical content as parallel sibling theorems. This dual generation is what makes $dx_4/dt = ic$ foundational in the deepest sense: it does not specify a category of physics but supplies the foundational principle from which categorical structures of dual-channel objects descend on both sides of the geometric/quantum-theoretical divide.

In plain language. Quantum mechanics, quantum electrodynamics, quantum field theory, and the Standard Model have been developed across nearly a century into the most precisely tested theoretical structures in the history of science. Their mathematical apparatus is deep, rigorous, and beautiful, and is given full credit throughout this paper. What no prior framework supplied was a single foundational principle from which both the algebraic-symmetry side (operator algebras, canonical commutation relations) and the geometric-propagation side (Huygens wavefronts, path summation, action principles) descend as parallel sibling theorems through disjoint routes. The McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ supplies this principle. The dual-channel content was always mathematically present in the formula $x_4 = ict$ (Minkowski 1908). The willingness to commit to it as a structural foundation of quantum theory is what was missing, and what the McGucken Quantum Formalism supplies. Together with the companion McGucken Geometry paper, the two-paper sequence establishes the McGucken Principle as the foundational geometric postulate from which two categorically novel mathematical structures — moving-dimension geometry and dual-channel quantum theory — descend.

References

A. Standard Physics References

- [1] J. von Neumann, “Die Eindeutigkeit der Schrödingerschen Operatoren,” *Mathematische Annalen* 104, 570-578 (1931).
- [2] J. von Neumann, “Über Einen Satz Von Herrn M. H. Stone,” *Annals of Mathematics* 33, 567-573 (1932).
- [3] R. P. Feynman, “Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* 20, 367-387 (1948).
- [4] P. A. M. Dirac, “The Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics,” *Physikalische Zeitschrift der Sowjetunion* 3, 64-72 (1933).
- [5] A. S. Wightman, “Quantum Field Theory in Terms of Vacuum Expectation Values,” *Physical Review* 101, 860-866 (1956).
- [6] L. Gårding and A. S. Wightman, “Fields as Operator-Valued Distributions in Relativistic Quantum Theory,” *Arkiv för Fysik* 28, 129-184 (1964).

- [7] R. Haag and D. Kastler, “An Algebraic Approach to Quantum Field Theory,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 5, 848-861 (1964).
- [8] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, “Axioms for Euclidean Green’s Functions,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 31, 83-112 (1973); 42, 281-305 (1975).
- [9] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry* (Academic Press, 1994). Original framework: *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 62, 257-360 (1985).
- [10] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives* (American Mathematical Society, 2008).
- [11] B. Kostant, “Quantization and Unitary Representations,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 170, 87-208 (Springer, 1970).
- [12] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des Systèmes Dynamiques* (Dunod, 1970). English translation: *Structure of Dynamical Systems* (Birkhäuser, 1997).
- [13] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, 1992).
- [14] D. Hestenes, *Space-Time Algebra* (Gordon and Breach, 1966; 2nd ed. Birkhäuser, 2015).
- [15] D. Hestenes and G. Sobczyk, *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus* (Reidel, 1984).
- [16] S. L. Adler, *Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields* (Oxford University Press, 1995); *Quantum Theory as an Emergent Phenomenon* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- [17] D. Bohm, “A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of ‘Hidden’ Variables. I,” *Physical Review* 85, 166-179 (1952).
- [18] D. Bohm, “A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of ‘Hidden’ Variables. II,” *Physical Review* 85, 180-193 (1952).
- [19] E. Nelson, “Derivation of the Schrödinger Equation from Newtonian Mechanics,” *Physical Review* 150, 1079-1085 (1966).
- [20] E. Nelson, *Quantum Fluctuations* (Princeton University Press, 1985).
- [21] J. Lindgren and J. Liukkonen, “Quantum Mechanics can be Understood through Stochastic Optimization on Spacetimes,” *Scientific Reports* 9, 19984 (2019).
- [22] G. ’t Hooft, *The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics* (Springer, 2016).
- [23] E. Witten, “Perturbative Gauge Theory As A String Theory In Twistor Space,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 252, 189-258 (2004); arXiv:hep-th/0312171.
- [24] F. P. Schuller, “Constructive Gravity: Foundations and Applications,” *Lecture series, ITP Heidelberg* (2020). See also F. P. Schuller and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, *Nuclear Physics B* 747, 398-422 (2006).
- [25] P. Woit, “Euclidean Twistor Unification,” arXiv:2104.05099 (2021).
- [26] E. P. Wigner, “On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group,” *Annals of Mathematics* 40, 149-204 (1939).
- [27] M. F. Atiyah, “Topological Quantum Field Theories,” *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 68, 175-186 (1988).
- [28] G. Segal, “The Definition of Conformal Field Theory,” in *Differential Geometrical Methods in Theoretical Physics* (Kluwer, 1988); expanded in *Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory*, ed. U. Tillmann (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

- [29] J. Lurie, “On the Classification of Topological Field Theories,” *Current Developments in Mathematics 2008*, 129-280 (2009).
- [30] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, “Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance,” *Physical Review* 96, 191-195 (1954).
- [31] K. G. Wilson, “Renormalization Group and Critical Phenomena,” *Physical Review B* 4, 3174-3183 (1971); 3184-3205 (1971).
- [32] J. Polchinski, “Renormalization and Effective Lagrangians,” *Nuclear Physics B* 231, 269-295 (1984).
- [33] S. Coleman and J. Mandula, “All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix,” *Physical Review* 159, 1251-1256 (1967).
- [34] S. Weinberg, “Feynman Rules for Any Spin,” *Physical Review* 133, B1318-B1332 (1964); 134, B882-B896 (1964).
- [35] F. Klein, “Vergleichende Betrachtungen über neuere geometrische Forschungen,” *Erlangen Programm* (1872). Translation: M. W. Haskell, *Bulletin of the New York Mathematical Society* 2, 215-249 (1893).
- [36] W. Heisenberg, “Über quantentheoretische Umdeutung kinematischer und mechanischer Beziehungen,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* 33, 879-893 (1925).
- [37] M. Born and P. Jordan, “Zur Quantenmechanik,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* 34, 858-888 (1925).
- [38] M. Born, W. Heisenberg, and P. Jordan, “Zur Quantenmechanik II,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* 35, 557-615 (1926).
- [39] E. Schrödinger, “Quantisierung als Eigenwertproblem,” *Annalen der Physik* (four papers, 1926): 79, 361; 79, 489; 80, 437; 81, 109.
- [40] M. H. Stone, “Linear Transformations in Hilbert Space. III,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 16, 172-175 (1930).
- [41] J. Rosenberg, “A Selective History of the Stone-von Neumann Theorem,” *Contemporary Mathematics* 365, 331-353 (AMS, 2004).
- [42] G. W. Mackey, “A Theorem of Stone and von Neumann,” *Duke Mathematical Journal* 16, 313-326 (1949).
- [43] M. A. Rieffel, “On the Uniqueness of the Heisenberg Commutation Relations,” *Duke Mathematical Journal* 39, 745-752 (1972).
- [44] J. von Neumann, *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik* (Springer, 1932). English translation: *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics* (Princeton University Press, 1955).
- [45] R. P. Feynman, “Space-Time Approach to Quantum Electrodynamics,” *Physical Review* 76, 769-789 (1949).
- [46] F. J. Dyson, “The Radiation Theories of Tomonaga, Schwinger, and Feynman,” *Physical Review* 75, 486-502 (1949).
- [47] R. F. Streater and A. S. Wightman, *PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That* (Benjamin, 1964; reprinted Princeton University Press, 2000).
- [48] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 1996).
- [49] R. Brunetti, K. Fredenhagen, and R. Verch, “The Generally Covariant Locality Principle,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 237, 31-68 (2003).

- [50] M. Benini, C. Dappiaggi, and A. Schenkel, “Algebraic Quantum Field Theory on Spacetimes with Timelike Boundary,” *Annales Henri Poincaré* 19, 2401-2433 (2018).
- [51] M. Benini and A. Schenkel, “Higher Structures in Algebraic Quantum Field Theory,” *Fortschritte der Physik* 67, 1910015 (2019).
- [52] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, “Axioms for Euclidean Green’s Functions II,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 42, 281-305 (1975).
- [53] D. Hestenes, “Real Spinor Fields,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 8, 798-808 (1967); “Space-time Physics with Geometric Algebra,” *American Journal of Physics* 71, 691-714 (2003).
- [54] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, “The Spectral Action Principle,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 186, 731-750 (1997).
- [55] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli, “Gravity and the Standard Model with Neutrino Mixing,” *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 11, 991-1089 (2007).
- [56] D. Bohm and B. J. Hiley, *The Undivided Universe* (Routledge, 1993).
- [57] S. L. Adler, *Quantum Theory as an Emergent Phenomenon* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- [58] R. Penrose, “Twistor Algebra,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 8, 345-366 (1967).
- [59] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam, *Factorization Algebras in Quantum Field Theory, Vols. 1 and 2* (Cambridge University Press, 2017, 2021).
- [60] D. S. Freed and C. Teleman, “Relative Quantum Field Theory,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 326, 459-476 (2014).
- [61] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 1: Foundations* (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [62] M. Kontsevich and G. Segal, “Wick Rotations and the Positivity of Energy in Quantum Field Theory,” *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 72, 673-699 (2021); arXiv:2105.10161. [Cited as [KS] in §4.3.]
- [63] G. C. Wick, “Properties of Bethe-Salpeter Wave Functions,” *Physical Review* 96, 1124-1134 (1954). [Origin of the Wick rotation; cited as [W] in §4.3.]
- [64] E. Witten, “An Interpretation of Classical Yang-Mills Theory,” *Physics Letters B* 77, 394-398 (1978). [Cited as [W1] in §6.5.]
- [65] E. Witten, “Parity Invariance For Strings In Twistor Space,” arXiv:hep-th/0403199 (2004). [Cited as [W3] in §6.5.]
- [66] N. Berkovits and E. Witten, “Conformal Supergravity In Twistor-String Theory,” *JHEP* 08 (2004) 009; arXiv:hep-th/0406051. [Cited as [W4] in §6.5.]
- [67] H. Minkowski, “Raum und Zeit,” *Physikalische Zeitschrift* 10, 104 (1909).
- [68] E. Noether, “Invariante Variationsprobleme,” *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse* (1918), 235-257.
- [69] D. Hilbert, “Die Grundlagen der Physik. (Erste Mitteilung),” *Nachrichten von der Königl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse* (1915), 395-407.
- [70] A. Einstein, “Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation,” *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (1915), 844-847.
- [71] P. A. M. Dirac, “The Quantum Theory of the Electron,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* 117, 610 (1928); *A* 118, 351 (1928).

- [72] D. Lovelock, “The Einstein Tensor and Its Generalizations,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 12, 498 (1971).
- [73] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka, “The Amplituhedron,” *JHEP* 10 (2014) 030; arXiv:1312.2007.

B. The McGucken Corpus

- [MG-Geometry] E. McGucken, “McGucken Geometry: The Novel Mathematical Structure of Moving-Dimension Geometry underlying the Physical McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (2026). Companion paper to the present work; establishes the geometric-side categorical-novelty result that moving-dimension geometry is not equivalent to any prior framework of dynamical geometry mainstream physics has accommodated from 1915 to 2026.
- [MG-Foundations] E. McGucken, “The Deeper Foundations of Quantum Mechanics: How the McGucken Principle Uniquely Generates the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Formulations of Quantum Mechanics, Wave/Particle Duality, the Schrödinger and Heisenberg Pictures, and Locality and Nonlocality all from $dx_4/dt = ic$,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (April 23, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/23/the-deeper-foundations-of-quantum-mechanics-how-the-mcgucken-principle-uniquely-generates-the-hamiltonian-and-lagrangian-formulations-of-quantum-mechanics-wave-particle-duality-the-schrodinger-and/> . The five-proposition Hamiltonian route (H.1-H.5) and six-proposition Lagrangian route (L.1-L.6) are developed in §§II-III, with the structural-overdetermination property established as Theorem VII.1; the fifteen-framework comparative survey is in §VI.
- [MG-Proof] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle and Proof: The Fourth Dimension Is Expanding at the Velocity of Light $dx_4/dt = ic$ as a Foundational Law of Physics,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (April 15, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/the-mcgucken-principle-and-proof-the-fourth-dimension-is-expanding-at-the-velocity-of-light-dx4-dt-ic-as-a-foundational-law-of-physics/> . The foundational proof of the McGucken Principle and the derivation of the Minkowski metric.
- [MG-Master] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle and Equation — $dx_4/dt = ic$ — Provides a Physical Mechanism for Special Relativity, the Principle of Least Action, Huygens’ Principle, the Schrödinger Equation, the Second Law of Thermodynamics, Quantum Nonlocality and Entanglement, Vacuum Energy, Dark Energy, and Dark Matter,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (April 10, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/10/282/> . The master “Singular Missing Physical Mechanism” synthesis paper containing the 41-row derivation chain from $dx_4/dt = ic$ as postulate to $\rho_\Lambda \sim \hbar/(c\lambda_4^4)$ as testable cosmological prediction.
- [MG-PathInt] E. McGucken, “A Derivation of Feynman’s Path Integral from the McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (April 15, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/a-derivation-of-feynmans-path-integral-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic/> . Derives Feynman’s path integral as a theorem of x_4 ’s spherical-symmetric expansion via Huygens-wavefront propagation; supplies Proposition L.1 of [MG-Foundations].
- [MG-Commut] E. McGucken, “A Novel Geometric Derivation of the Canonical Commutation Relation $[q, p] = i\hbar$ Based on the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$: A Comparative Analysis of Derivations of $[q, p] = i\hbar$ in Gleason, Hestenes, Adler, and the McGucken Quantum Formalism,” *elliottmcguckenphysics.com* (April 21, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/a-novel-geometric-derivation-of-the-canonical-commutation-relation-q-p-i%e2%84%8f-based-on-the>

mcgucken-principle-a-comparative-analysis-of-derivations-of-q-p-i%e2%84%8f-in-gleason-hestene/ . Derives $[q, p] = i\hbar$ from $dx_4/dt = ic$ by two independent routes (operator and path-integral) with Stone-von Neumann closure; six-criterion comparative analysis with Gleason, Hestenes, and Adler programs.

[MG-HLA] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle ($dx_4/dt = ic$) as the Physical Mechanism Underlying Huygens’ Principle, the Principle of Least Action, Noether’s Theorem, and the Schrödinger Equation,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-huygens-principle-the-principle-of-least-action-noethers-theorem-and-the-schrodinger-equation/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-huygens-principle-the-principle-of-least-action-noethers-theorem-and-the-schrodinger-equation/> . Establishes Huygens’ Principle, the Principle of Least Action, the eight-step Schrödinger derivation, and Noether’s theorem as theorems of $dx_4/dt = ic$.

[MG-Born] E. McGucken, “A Geometric Derivation of the Born Rule $P = |\psi|^2$ from the McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/a-geometric-derivation-of-the-born-rule-p-%cf%882-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic/) (April 15, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/a-geometric-derivation-of-the-born-rule-p-%cf%882-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic/> . Three-theorem structure: complex character of ψ from $x_4 = ict$, uniqueness of squared-modulus from five constraints, geometric-overlap interpretation as forward and conjugate x_4 -expansion overlap.

[MG-Dirac] E. McGucken, “The Geometric Origin of the Dirac Equation: Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, the $SU(2)$ Double Cover, and the Matter-Antimatter Structure from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-geometric-origin-of-the-dirac-equation-spin-%c2%bd-the-su2-double-cover-and-the-matter-antimatter-structure-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic/) (April 19, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-geometric-origin-of-the-dirac-equation-spin-%c2%bd-the-su2-double-cover-and-the-matter-antimatter-structure-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic/> . Ten-stage derivation with matter orientation condition (M), single-sided preservation theorem, Doran-Lasenby verification, and unified T-violation at all scales.

[MG-QED] E. McGucken, “Quantum Electrodynamics from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$: Local x_4 -Phase Invariance, the $U(1)$ Gauge Structure, Maxwell’s Equations, and the QED Lagrangian,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/quantum-electrodynamics-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-local-x%e2%82%84-phase-invariance-the-u1-gauge-structure-maxwells-equations-and-the-qed/) (April 19, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/quantum-electrodynamics-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-local-x%e2%82%84-phase-invariance-the-u1-gauge-structure-maxwells-equations-and-the-qed/> . Derives full tree-level QED with bundle-triviality theorem for absence of magnetic monopoles and explicit Klein-Nishina amplitude computation.

[MG-SM] E. McGucken, “A Formal Derivation of the Standard Model Lagrangians and General Relativity from McGucken’s Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$: Gauge Symmetry, Maxwell’s Equations, and the Einstein-Hilbert Action as Theorems of a Single Geometric Postulate,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/14/a-formal-derivation-of-the-standard-model-lagrangians-and-general-relativity-from-mcguckens-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-gauge-symmetry-maxwell/) (April 14, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/14/a-formal-derivation-of-the-standard-model-lagrangians-and-general-relativity-from-mcguckens-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-gauge-symmetry-maxwell/> . Master 12-theorem proof chain from Lorentzian metric through Maxwell, Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Yang-Mills uniqueness, to Einstein-Hilbert via Schuller closure.

[MG-Lagrangian] E. McGucken, “The Unique McGucken Lagrangian: All Four Sectors (Free-Particle Kinetic, Dirac Matter, Yang-Mills Gauge, Einstein-Hilbert Gravitational) Forced by the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/23/the-unique-mcgucken-lagrangian-all-four-sectors-free-particle-kinetic-dirac-matter-yang-mills-gauge-einstein-hilbert-gravitational-forced-by-the-mcgucken-principle-dx4-dt-ic/) (April 23, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/23/the-unique-mcgucken-lagrangian-all-four-sectors-free-particle-kinetic-dirac-matter-yang-mills-gauge-einstein-hilbert-gravitational-forced-by-the-mcgucken-principle-dx4-dt-ic/>

kinetic-dirac-matter-yang-mills-gauge-einstein-hilbert-gravitational-forced-by-the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-2/ . Four-fold uniqueness theorem: free-particle kinetic from Poincaré + reparametrization (Proposition IV.1), Dirac matter from Cl(1,3) plus condition (M) (Proposition V.1), Yang-Mills gauge from local x_4 -phase invariance (Proposition VI.2), Einstein-Hilbert from Schuller closure plus Lovelock (Proposition VI.3).

[MG-LagrangianOpt] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Lagrangian as Unique, Simplest, and Most Complete: A Multi-Field Mathematical Proof,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/25/the-mcgucken-lagrangian-as-unique-simplest-and-most-complete-a-multi-field-mathematical-proof/) (April 25, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/25/the-mcgucken-lagrangian-as-unique-simplest-and-most-complete-a-multi-field-mathematical-proof/> . Triple-optimality (uniqueness, simplicity, completeness) under fourteen mathematical theorems including Lovelock, Stone-von Neumann, Wigner classification, Coleman-Mandula, Wilsonian RG, Atiyah-Singer, Ostrogradsky, Kolmogorov complexity, and category-theoretic universality. Contains the seven-duality audit (§6.7) establishing $\mathcal{L}_{\text{McG}}$ as the only canonical Lagrangian generating all seven McGucken Dualities.

[MG-KleinianConstructor] E. McGucken, “The McGucken-Kleinian Programme as the Geometric Foundation of Constructor Theory: A Categorical Formalization,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/25/the-mcgucken-kleinian-programme-as-the-geometric-foundation-of-constructor-theory-a-categorical-formalization/) (April 25, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/25/the-mcgucken-kleinian-programme-as-the-geometric-foundation-of-constructor-theory-a-categorical-formalization/> . Klein-Erlangen adjoint pair ($\text{Alg} \dashv \text{Geom}$) (Theorem III.1), constructor-theoretic foundation (Theorem V.1), and seven-dualities 2-categorical specialization diagram (Theorem VII.1).

[MG-Noether] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension Exalts and Unifies The Conservation Laws: How the Symmetries of Noether’s Theorem, the Conservation Laws of the Poincaré, $U(1)$, $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$, Diffeomorphism Groups, and the Imaginary Structure of Quantum Theory and Complexification of Physics arise from $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-exalts-and-unifies-the-conservation-laws-how-the-symmetries-of-noethers-theorem-the-conservation-laws-of-the-poincare-u1-su2-su3-di/) (April 21, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-exalts-and-unifies-the-conservation-laws-how-the-symmetries-of-noethers-theorem-the-conservation-laws-of-the-poincare-u1-su2-su3-di/> . Derives the complete Noether catalog plus the twelve-instances-of- i identification establishing all factors of i in quantum theory as geometric shadows of x_4 ’s perpendicularity.

[MG-GR] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle ($dx_4/dt = ic$) as the Physical Foundation of General Relativity: An Enhanced Treatment with Explicit Derivations, the ADM Formalism, Gravitational Waves, Black Holes, and the Semiclassical Limit,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-foundation-of-general-relativity-spatial-curvature-the-invariant-fourth-dimension-gravitational-redshift-gravitational-time-dilation-a/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-foundation-of-general-relativity-spatial-curvature-the-invariant-fourth-dimension-gravitational-redshift-gravitational-time-dilation-a/> . Derives the gravitational sector of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{McG}}$ including ADM x_4 -foliation, refractive-index reading of $g_{\mu\nu}$, six-step Schwarzschild derivation, gravitational waves as h_{ij} undulations, black holes, semiclassical limit, and the no-graviton theorem.

[MG-Newton] E. McGucken, “A Derivation of Newton’s Law of Universal Gravitation from the McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/a-derivation-of-newtons-law-of-universal-gravitation-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dtic/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/a-derivation-of-newtons-law-of-universal-gravitation-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dtic/> . Eight-step derivation chain establishing $F = -GMm\hat{r}/r^2$ as a theorem with the inverse-square law arising from the spherical symmetry of x_4 ’s isotropic expansion combined with Gauss’s theorem on the McGucken Sphere.

- [MG-Broken] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension ($dx_4/dt = ic$) Accounts for the Standard Model’s Broken Symmetries, Time’s Arrows and Asymmetries, and Much More,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-accounts-for-the-standard-models-broken-symmetries-times-arrows-and-asymmetries-and-much-more/) (April 13, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-accounts-for-the-standard-models-broken-symmetries-times-arrows-and-asymmetries-and-much-more/> . Comprehensive catalog establishing every broken symmetry in the Standard Model and every arrow of time as theorems of $dx_4/dt = ic$, including P, C, CP, T violation, electroweak symmetry breaking, chiral symmetry breaking, baryogenesis, the strong CP problem, and the seven arrows of time unified.
- [MG-deBroglie] E. McGucken, “A Derivation of the de Broglie Relation $p = h/\lambda$ from the McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$: Wave-Particle Duality as a Geometric Consequence of the Expanding Fourth Dimension,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/a-derivation-of-the-de-broglie-relation-p-h-%ce%bb-from-the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-wave-particle-duality-as-a-geometric-consequence-of-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-with-a-compara/) (April 21, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/a-derivation-of-the-de-broglie-relation-p-h-%ce%bb-from-the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-wave-particle-duality-as-a-geometric-consequence-of-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-with-a-compara/> . Three-theorem photon case plus massive-particle case, with the Compton-frequency physical mechanism for de Broglie’s 1924 unspecified internal rest-frame clock.
- [MG-Wick] E. McGucken, “The Wick Rotation as a Theorem of $dx_4/dt = ic$: How the McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension Provides the Physical Mechanism Underlying the Wick Rotation and All of Its Applications Throughout Physics,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/the-wick-rotation-as-a-theorem-of-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-provides-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-the-wick-rotation-and-all-of-its-applicat/) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/the-wick-rotation-as-a-theorem-of-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-provides-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-the-wick-rotation-and-all-of-its-applicat/> . Establishes the Wick substitution $t \rightarrow -i\tau$ as the coordinate identification $\tau = x_4/c$ (Theorem 2.1) with ten formal theorems deriving every standard application: Schrödinger-diffusion correspondence, Euclidean path-integral convergence, $+i\epsilon$ prescription, twelve factor-of- i unification (Theorem 5.1), Osterwalder-Schrader reflection positivity, KMS condition, Gibbons-Hawking horizon regularity, Hawking temperature, and Kontsevich-Segal reduction from two inputs to one.
- [MG-Twistor] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension Gives Rise to Twistor Space: $dx_4/dt = ic$ as the Physical Mechanism Underlying Penrose’s Twistor Theory,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-gives-rise-to-twistor-space-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-penroses-twistor-theory/) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-gives-rise-to-twistor-space-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-penroses-twistor-theory/> . Theorem III.1 (Central Identification): CP^3 arises from $dx_4/dt = ic$. Seventeen propositions establishing the twistor-McGucken correspondence and resolving the five Penrose problems (complex structure, signature, googly, curved spacetime, physical interpretation).
- [MG-WittenTwistor] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension Resolves the Open Problems of Witten’s Twistor Programme: $dx_4/dt = ic$ as the Physical Mechanism Underlying Perturbative Gauge Theory as a String Theory in Twistor Space, Conformal Supergravity in Twistor-String Theory, Parity Invariance for Strings in Twistor Space, and the 1978 Twistor Formulation of Classical Yang-Mills Theory,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-resolves-the-open-problems-of-wittens-twistor-programme-dx%e2%82%84-dt-) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-resolves-the-open-problems-of-wittens-twistor-programme-dx%e2%82%84-dt->

ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-perturbative-gauge-theory/ . Reads Witten’s four-paper twistor programme (1978, 2003, 2004 parity, 2004 Berkovits-Witten) through the McGucken Principle, with seven propositions resolving each open problem.

[MG-Woit] E. McGucken, “The McGucken-Woit Synthesis: How $dx_4/dt = ic$ Underlies Euclidean Twistor Unification, the Higgs Field as Geometric Pointer, and the CP^3 Geometry of the Electroweak Sector,” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-a-natural-furthering-of-woits-euclidean-twistor-unification/) (April 13, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-a-natural-furthering-of-woits-euclidean-twistor-unification/> . Contains the CP^3 -geometric estimate of the Higgs self-coupling λ .

[MG-Constants] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$ Sets the Constants c (the Velocity of Light) and h (Planck’s Constant),” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dtic-sets-the-constants-c-the-velocity-of-light-and-h-plancks-constant/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dtic-sets-the-constants-c-the-velocity-of-light-and-h-plancks-constant/> . Establishes c as geometric budget constraint and $\hbar$ as the quantum of action per oscillatory step of x_4 at the Planck frequency, with the Lindgren-Liukkonen 2019 independent stochastic-optimal-control convergence.

[MG-Uncertainty] E. McGucken, “A Derivation of the Uncertainty Principle $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$ from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/a-derivation-of-the-uncertainty-principle-%ce%b4x%ce%b4p-%e2%89%a5-%e2%84%8f-2-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-th/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/a-derivation-of-the-uncertainty-principle-%ce%b4x%ce%b4p-%e2%89%a5-%e2%84%8f-2-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-th/> . Derives the Heisenberg uncertainty relation as a theorem of four-dimensional geometry rather than a consequence of postulated commutators.

[MG-NonlocCopen] E. McGucken, “Quantum Nonlocality and Probability from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension — How $dx_4/dt = ic$ Provides the Physical Mechanism Underlying the Copenhagen Interpretation as well as Relativity, Entropy, Cosmology, and the Constants of Nature,” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/16/quantum-nonlocality-and-probability-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-how-dx4-dt-ic-provides-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-the-copenhagen-interpr/) (April 16, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/16/quantum-nonlocality-and-probability-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-how-dx4-dt-ic-provides-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-the-copenhagen-interpr/> . Six-sense McGucken Sphere geometric locality, recovery of CHSH singlet correlation $E(a,b) = -\cos \theta_{ab}$ from shared wavefront identity, and resolution of the six Copenhagen open questions (D1-D6).

[MG-QvsB] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Quantum Formalism versus Bohmian Mechanics: A Comprehensive Comparison,” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/the-mcgucken-quantum-formalism-versus-bohmian-mechanics-a-comprehensive-comparison-with-discussion-of-the-pilot-wave-the-quantum-potential-the-preferred-foliation-problem-the-born-rule-derivation/) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/the-mcgucken-quantum-formalism-versus-bohmian-mechanics-a-comprehensive-comparison-with-discussion-of-the-pilot-wave-the-quantum-potential-the-preferred-foliation-problem-the-born-rule-derivation/> . Ten-element structural comparison establishing MQF as structurally stronger than Bohmian mechanics on eight of ten elements.

[MG-Compton] E. McGucken, “A Compton Coupling Between Matter and the Expanding Fourth Dimension: A Proposed Matter Interaction for the McGucken Principle, with Consequences for Diffusion and Entropy,” [elliotmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/18/a-compton-coupling-between-matter-and-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-a-proposed-matter-interaction-for-the-mcgucken-principle-with-consequences-for-diffusion-and-entropy/) (April 18, 2026). URL: <https://elliotmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/18/a-compton-coupling-between-matter-and-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-a-proposed-matter-interaction-for-the-mcgucken-principle-with-consequences-for-diffusion-and-entropy/>

entropy/ . Proposes the matter-coupling prescription completing $\mathcal{L}_{\text{McG}}$ into a full physical theory with mass-independent residual-diffusion $D_{\hat{x}}(\text{McG}) = \epsilon^2 c^2 \Omega / (2\gamma^2)$ testable in atomic clocks and trapped ions.

- [MG-Holography] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle as the Physical Foundation of Holography and AdS/CFT,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/18/the-mcgucken-principle-as-the-physical-foundation-of-the-holographic-principle-and-ads-cft-how-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-naturally-leads-to-boundary-encoding-of-bulk-information-including-derivat/) (April 18, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/18/the-mcgucken-principle-as-the-physical-foundation-of-the-holographic-principle-and-ads-cft-how-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-naturally-leads-to-boundary-encoding-of-bulk-information-including-derivat/> . Foundational holographic framework with the four explicit assumptions A1-A4, the physical identification $\lambda_8 = \ell_P$, and the derivation of $\hbar = \ell_P^2 c^3 / G$.
- [MG-AdSCFT] E. McGucken, “AdS/CFT from $dx_4/dt = ic$: The GKP-Witten Dictionary as Theorems of the McGucken Principle,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/ads-cft-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-gkp-witten-dictionary-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle-holography-the-master-equation-z_cft%cf%86%e2%82%80-z_ads%cf%86_%e2%88%82/) (April 22, 2026). URL: https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/ads-cft-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-gkp-witten-dictionary-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle-holography-the-master-equation-z_cft%cf%86%e2%82%80-z_ads%cf%86_%e2%88%82/ . Derives the full GKP-Witten dictionary, dimension-mass relation, Hawking-Page transition, and Ryu-Takayanagi formula as theorems.
- [MG-Susskind] E. McGucken, “Theorems of $dx_4/dt = ic$: How the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension Derives Leonard Susskind’s Six Black Hole Programmes,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/six-theorems-of-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-derives-leonard-susskinds-black-hole-programmes-holographic-principle-complementarity-stretc/) (April 21, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/21/six-theorems-of-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-derives-leonard-susskinds-black-hole-programmes-holographic-principle-complementarity-stretc/> . Derives holographic principle, complementarity, stretched horizon, string microstates, $ER = EPR$, and complexity.
- [MG-Hawking] E. McGucken, “How the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension Derives the Results of Hawking’s Particle Creation by Black Holes (1975),” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-derives-the-results-of-hawkings-particle-creation-by-black-holes-1975-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-hawki/) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-derives-the-results-of-hawkings-particle-creation-by-black-holes-1975-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-hawki/> . Derives Hawking radiation, the Hawking temperature, the Bekenstein-Hawking formula $S = A/4$, the refined Generalized Second Law, and black-hole evaporation as theorems of $dx_4/dt = ic$.
- [MG-Bekenstein] E. McGucken, “Bekenstein’s Five 1973 Results as Theorems of the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/bekensteins-five-1973-results-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4dt-ic) (April 2026). Derives the five central results of Bekenstein 1973 (existence of horizon entropy, area law, coefficient $\eta = (\ln 2)/(8\pi)$, Generalized Second Law, information-theoretic identification).
- [MG-FRW-Holography] E. McGucken, “McGucken Holography for FRW and de Sitter Space from a Single Master Principle,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/mcgucken-holography-for-frw-and-de-sitter-space-from-a-single-master-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-mcgucken-sphere-cosmological-holography-an-explicit-horizon-surface-term-and-a-testable-depa/) (April 20, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/20/mcgucken-holography-for-frw-and-de-sitter-space-from-a-single-master-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-mcgucken-sphere-cosmological-holography-an-explicit-horizon-surface-term-and-a-testable-depa/> . Cosmological holography programme with explicit horizon surface term and testable departure $\rho^2(t_{\text{rec}}) \approx 7$ from the Hubble-horizon entropy.
- [MG-JacobsonVerlindeMarolf] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle ($dx_4/dt = ic$) as a Candidate Physical Mechanism for Jacobson’s Thermodynamic Spacetime, Verlinde’s Entropic Gravity, and Marolf’s Nonlocality,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/12/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-a-candidate-physical-mechanism-for-jacobsons-thermodynamic-spacetime-verlindes-entropic-gravity-and-marolfs-nonl/) (April 12, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/12/the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-a-candidate-physical-mechanism-for-jacobsons-thermodynamic-spacetime-verlindes-entropic-gravity-and-marolfs-nonl/> .

- [MG-VerlindeEntropic] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle $dx_4/dt = ic$ as the Physical Mechanism Underlying Verlinde’s Entropic Gravity,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-verlindes-entropic-gravity-a-unified-derivation-of-gravity-entropy-and-the-holographic-principle-from-a-single-ge/) (April 11, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/11/the-mcgucken-principle-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-as-the-physical-mechanism-underlying-verlindes-entropic-gravity-a-unified-derivation-of-gravity-entropy-and-the-holographic-principle-from-a-single-ge/> .
- [MG-Entropy] E. McGucken, “The Derivation of Entropy’s Increase and Time’s Arrow from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2025/08/25/the-derivation-of-entropys-increase-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-a-deeper-connection-between-brownian-motions-random-walk-feynmans/) (August 25, 2025). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2025/08/25/the-derivation-of-entropys-increase-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-a-deeper-connection-between-brownian-motions-random-walk-feynmans/> . Strict geometric theorem $dS/dt > 0$ with explicit numerical simulation confirmation across five trials, and Brownian-Feynman-Huygens unification.
- [MG-Singular] E. McGucken, “The Singular Missing Physical Mechanism — $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/10/the-missing-physical-mechanism-how-the-principle-of-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-gives-rise-to-the-constancy-and-invariance-of-the-velocity-of-light-c-the-s/) (April 10, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/10/the-missing-physical-mechanism-how-the-principle-of-the-expanding-fourth-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-gives-rise-to-the-constancy-and-invariance-of-the-velocity-of-light-c-the-s/> . Extended treatment of the unification-of-physics program, organized around the mechanism problem.
- [MG-Lambda] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle of the Fourth Expanding Dimension ($dx_4/dt = ic$) as the Resolution of the Vacuum Energy Problem and the Cosmological Constant,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-as-the-resolution-of-the-vacuum-energy-problem-and-the-cosmological-constant/) (April 15, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-as-the-resolution-of-the-vacuum-energy-problem-and-the-cosmological-constant/> . Resolves the 10^{122} cosmological-constant discrepancy via Λ as IR quantity determined by H_0 and CPT-pairwise virtual-pair cancellation; testable $w(z) = -1 + \Omega_m(z)/(6\pi)$ with zero free parameters.
- [MG-Horizon] E. McGucken, “The McGucken Principle ($dx_4/dt = ic$) as a Geometric Resolution of the Horizon Problem, the Flatness Problem, and the Homogeneity of the Cosmic Microwave Background — Without Inflation,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-as-a-geometric-resolution-of-the-horizon-problem-the-flatness-problem-and-the-homogeneity-of-the-cosmic-microwave-bac/) (April 15, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/15/the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx4-dt-ic-as-a-geometric-resolution-of-the-horizon-problem-the-flatness-problem-and-the-homogeneity-of-the-cosmic-microwave-bac/> .
- [MG-Eleven] E. McGucken, “One Principle Solves Eleven Cosmological Mysteries,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/one-principle-solves-eleven-cosmological-mysteries-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-resolves-the-greatest-open-problems-in-cosmology-inclu/) (April 13, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/13/one-principle-solves-eleven-cosmological-mysteries-how-the-mcgucken-principle-of-the-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-resolves-the-greatest-open-problems-in-cosmology-inclu/> .
- [MG-Witten1995] E. McGucken, “String Theory Dynamics from $dx_4/dt = ic$: The Results of Witten’s ‘String Theory Dynamics in Various Dimensions’ as Theorems of the McGucken Principle,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/string-theory-dynamics-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-results-of-wittens-string-theory-dynamics-in-various-dimensions-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle-why-the-extra-spatial-dimensi/) (April 22, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/string-theory-dynamics-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-the-results-of-wittens-string-theory-dynamics-in-various-dimensions-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle-why-the-extra-spatial-dimensi/> . Establishes the formal no-extra-dimensions theorem (Proposition II.5) and identifies the McGucken Principle as the non-perturbative formulation of M-theory.
- [MG-Amplituhedron] E. McGucken, “The Amplituhedron from $dx_4/dt = ic$: Positive Geometry, Emergent Locality and Unitarity, Dual Conformal Symmetry, the Yangian, and the Absence of Spacetime as Theorems of the McGucken Principle,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/the-amplituhedron-from-dx4-dt-ic-positive-geometry-emergent-locality-and-unitarity-dual-conformal-symmetry-the-yangian-and-the-absence-of-spacetime-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle/) (April 22, 2026).

URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/22/the-amplituhedron-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-positive-geometry-emergent-locality-and-unitarity-dual-conformal-symmetry-the-yangian-and-the-absence-of-spacetime-as-theorems-of-the-mcgucken-principle/> .

[MG-Jarlskog] E. McGucken, “The CKM Complex Phase and the Jarlskog Invariant from the McGucken Principle of a Fourth Expanding Dimension $dx_4/dt = ic$,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-ckm-complex-phase-and-the-jarlskog-invariant-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-compton-frequency-interference-the-kobayashi-maskawa-three-generation/) (April 19, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-ckm-complex-phase-and-the-jarlskog-invariant-from-the-mcgucken-principle-of-a-fourth-expanding-dimension-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-compton-frequency-interference-the-kobayashi-maskawa-three-generation/> .

[MG-Cabibbo] E. McGucken, “The Cabibbo Angle from Quark Mass Ratios in the McGucken Principle Framework,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-cabibbo-angle-from-quark-mass-ratios-in-the-mcgucken-principle-framework-a-partial-version-2-derivation-of-the-ckm-matrix-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-and-a-geometric-reading-of-the-gatto-fritzsche-re/) (April 19, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/19/the-cabibbo-angle-from-quark-mass-ratios-in-the-mcgucken-principle-framework-a-partial-version-2-derivation-of-the-ckm-matrix-from-dx%e2%82%84-dt-ic-and-a-geometric-reading-of-the-gatto-fritzsche-re/> . Derives $\sin \theta_{12} = \sqrt{(m_d/m_s)} = 0.2236$ matching observed 0.2250 to 0.6%.

[MG-SMGauge] E. McGucken, “Gauge Symmetry, Maxwell’s Equations, and the Einstein-Hilbert Action as Theorems of a Single Geometric Postulate,” [elliottmcguckenphysics.com](https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/14/gauge-symmetry-maxwells-equations-and-the-einstein-hilbert-action-as-theorems-of-a-single-geometric-postulate-deriving-the-standard-model-lagrangians-and-general-relativity-from/) (April 14, 2026). URL: <https://elliottmcguckenphysics.com/2026/04/14/gauge-symmetry-maxwells-equations-and-the-einstein-hilbert-action-as-theorems-of-a-single-geometric-postulate-deriving-the-standard-model-lagrangians-and-general-relativity-from/> .

C. Primary Historical Sources for the McGucken Principle

[Wheeler-Letter] J. A. Wheeler, Letter of recommendation for Elliot McGucken, Princeton University, Joseph Henry Professor of Physics (c. 1990).

[MG-Dissertation] E. McGucken, Multiple Unit Artificial Retina Chipset to Aid the Visually Impaired and Enhanced Holed-Emitter CMOS Phototransistors. NSF-funded Ph.D. dissertation, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (1998). Appendix contains the first written formulation of the McGucken Principle.

[MG-FQXi2008] E. McGucken, “Time as an Emergent Phenomenon: Traveling Back to the Heroic Age of Physics (In Memory of John Archibald Wheeler),” Foundational Questions Institute essay (August 2008). URL: <https://forums.fqxi.org/d/238>

[MG-FQXi2009] E. McGucken, “What is Ultimately Possible in Physics?,” FQXi essay (2009). URL: <https://forums.fqxi.org/d/432>

[MG-FQXi2011] E. McGucken, “On the Emergence of QM, Relativity, Entropy, Time, $i\hbar$, and ic from the Foundational, Physical Reality of a Fourth Dimension x_4 Expanding with a Discrete (Digital) Wavelength λ_P at c Relative to Three Continuous (Analog) Spatial Dimensions,” FQXi essay (2010-2011). First explicit identification of the structural parallel between $dx_4/dt = ic$ and $[q, p] = i\hbar$.

[MG-FQXi2012] E. McGucken, “MDT’s $dx_4/dt = ic$ Triumphs Over the Wrong Physical Assumption that Time is a Dimension,” FQXi essay (2012). URL: <https://forums.fqxi.org/d/1429>

[MG-FQXi2013] E. McGucken, “Where is the Wisdom we have lost in Information?,” FQXi essay (2013).

[MG-Book2016] E. McGucken, Light Time Dimension Theory: The Foundational Physics Unifying Einstein’s Relativity and Quantum Mechanics. 45EPIC Hero’s Odyssey Mythology Press (2016). Amazon ASIN: B01KP8XGQ6.

- [MG-BookTime] E. McGucken, The Physics of Time. 45EPIC Hero's Odyssey Mythology Press (2017). ASIN: B0F2PZCW6B.
- [MG-BookTriumph] E. McGucken, The Triumph of LTD Theory and Physics over String Theory, the Multiverse, Inflation, Supersymmetry, M-Theory, LQG, and Failed Pseudoscience. 45EPIC Hero's Odyssey Mythology Press (2017). ASIN: B01N19KO3A.
- [MG-BookEntanglement] E. McGucken, Quantum Entanglement & Einstein's Spooky Action at a Distance Explained. 45EPIC Hero's Odyssey Mythology Press (2017).
- [MG-BookRelativity] E. McGucken, Einstein's Relativity Derived from LTD Theory's Principle. 45EPIC Hero's Odyssey Mythology Press (2017).
- [MG-BookPictures] E. McGucken, Relativity and Quantum Mechanics Unified in Pictures. 45EPIC Hero's Odyssey Mythology Press (2017).